

Title: The genetic architecture of the human cerebral cortex.

Authors: Katrina L. Grasby^{1†*}, Neda Jahanshad^{2†*}, Jodie N. Painter^{1‡}, Lucía Colodro-Conde^{1,3-} ^{5‡}, Janita Bralten^{6,7‡}, Derrek P. Hibar^{2,8‡}, Penelope A. Lind^{1,4,9‡}, Fabrizio Pizzagalli^{2‡}, Christopher R.K. Ching^{2,10}, Mary Agnes B. McMahon², Natalia Shatokhina², Leo C.P. Zsembik¹¹, Sophia I. 5 Thomopoulos², Alyssa H. Zhu², Lachlan T. Strike¹², Ingrid Agartz¹³⁻¹⁶, Saud Alhusaini^{17,18}, Marcio A.A. Almeida¹⁹, Dag Alnæs^{13,14}, Inge K. Amlien²⁰, Micael Andersson^{21,22}, Tyler Ard²³, Nicola J. Armstrong²⁴, Allison Ashley-Koch²⁵, Joshua R. Atkins^{26,27}, Manon Bernard²⁸, Rachel M. Brouwer²⁹, Elizabeth E.L. Buimer²⁹, Robin Bülow³⁰, Christian Bürger³¹, Dara M. Cannon³², Mallar Chakravarty^{33,34}, Qiang Chen³⁵, Joshua W. Cheung², Baptiste Couvy-Duchesne^{12,36,37}, 10 Anders M. Dale^{38,39}, Shareefa Dalvie ⁴⁰, Tânia K. de Araujo^{41,42}, Greig I. de Zubicaray⁴³, Sonja M.C. de Zwarte²⁹, Anouk den Braber^{44,45}, Nhat Trung Doan^{13,14}, Katharina Dohm³¹, Stefan Ehrlich⁴⁶, Hannah-Ruth Engelbrecht⁴⁷, Susanne Erk⁴⁸, Chun Chieh Fan⁴⁹, Iryna O. Fedko⁴⁴, Sonya F. Foley⁵⁰, Judith M. Ford⁵¹, Masaki Fukunaga⁵², Melanie E. Garrett²⁵, Tian Ge^{53,54}, Sudheer Giddaluru⁵⁵, Aaron L. Goldman³⁵, Melissa J. Green^{56,57}, Nynke A. Groenewold⁴⁰, 15 Dominik Grotegerd³¹, Tiril P. Gurholt¹³⁻¹⁵, Boris A. Gutman^{2,58}, Narelle K. Hansell¹², Mathew A. Harris^{59,60}, Marc B. Harrison², Courtney C. Haswell^{61,62}, Michael Hauser²⁵, Stefan Herms⁶³⁻⁶⁵, Dirk J. Heslenfeld⁶⁶, New Fei Ho⁶⁷, David Hoehn⁶⁸, Per Hoffmann^{63,64,69}, Laurena Holleran⁷⁰, Martine Hoogman^{6,7}, Jouke-Jan Hottenga⁴⁴, Masashi Ikeda⁷¹, Deborah Janowitz⁷², Iris E. Jansen^{73,74}, Tianye Jia⁷⁵⁻⁷⁷, Christiane Jockwitz⁷⁸⁻⁸⁰, Ryota Kanai⁸¹⁻⁸³, Sherif Karama^{33,84,85}, Dalia 20 Kasperaviciute^{86,87}, Tobias Kaufmann^{13,14}, Sinead Kelly^{88,89}, Masataka Kikuchi ⁹⁰, Marieke Klein^{6,7,29}, Michael Knapp⁹¹, Annchen R. Knodt⁹², Bernd Krämer^{93,94}, Max Lam^{67,95}, Thomas M. Lancaster^{50,96}, Phil H. Lee^{53,97}, Tristram A. Lett⁴⁸, Lindsay B. Lewis^{85,98}, Iscia Lopes-Cendes^{41,42}, Michelle Luciano^{99,100}, Fabio Macciardi¹⁰¹, Andre F. Marquand^{7,102}, Samuel R. Mathias^{103,104}, Tracy R. Melzer¹⁰⁵⁻¹⁰⁷, Yuri Milaneschi¹⁰⁸, Nazanin Mirza-Schreiber^{68,109}, Jose C.V. Moreira^{42,110}, Thomas W. Mühleisen^{63,78,111}, Bertram Müller-Myhsok^{68,112,113}, Pablo Najt³², Soichiro Nakahara^{101,114}, Kwangsik Nho¹¹⁵, Loes M. Olde Loohuis¹¹⁶, Dimitri Papadopoulos Orfanos¹¹⁷, John F. Pearson^{118,119}, Toni L. Pitcher¹⁰⁵⁻¹⁰⁷, Benno Pütz⁶⁸, Yann Quidé^{56,57}, Anjanibhargavi Ragothaman², Faisal M. Rashid², William R. Reay^{26,27}, Ronny Redlich³¹, Céline S. Reinbold^{20,63,64}, Jonathan Repple³¹, Geneviève Richard^{13,14,120,121}, Brandalyn C. Riedel^{2,115}, 30 Shannon L. Risacher¹¹⁵, Cristiane S. Rocha^{41,42}, Nina Roth Mota^{6,7,122}, Lauren Salminen², Arvin Saremi², Andrew J. Saykin^{115,123}, Fenja Schlag¹²⁴, Lianne Schmaal¹²⁵⁻¹²⁷, Peter R. Schofield^{128,129}, Rodrigo Secolin^{41,42}, Chin Yang Shapland¹²⁴, Li Shen¹³⁰, Jean Shin^{28,131}, Elena Shumskaya^{6,7,132}, Ida E. Sønderby^{13,14}, Emma Sprooten⁷, Katherine E. Tansey⁹⁶, Alexander Teumer¹³³, Anbupalam Thalamuthu¹³⁴, Diana Tordesillas-Gutiérrez^{135,136}, Jessica A. Turner^{137,138}, Anne Uhlmann^{40,139}, Costanza Ludovica Vallerga³⁶, Dennis van der Meer^{140,141}, Marjolein M.J. van Donkelaar¹⁴², Liza van Eijk^{3,12}, Theo G.M. van Erp¹⁰¹, Neeltje E.M. van Haren^{29,143}, Daan van Rooij^{7,102}, Marie-José van Tol¹⁴⁴, Jan H. Veldink¹⁴⁵, Ellen Verhoef¹²⁴, Esther Walton^{137,146,147}, Mingyuan Wang⁶⁷, Yunpeng Wang^{13,14}, Joanna M. Wardlaw^{59,100,148}, Wei Wen¹³⁴, Lars T. Westlye^{13,14,120}, Christopher D. Whelan^{2,17}, Stephanie H. Witt¹⁴⁹, Katharina 40 Wittfeld^{72,150}, Christiane Wolf¹⁵¹, Thomas Wolfers⁶, Jing Qin Wu²⁶, Clarissa L. Yasuda^{42,152}, Dario Zaremba³¹, Zuo Zhang¹⁵³, Marcel P. Zwiers^{7,102,132}, Eric Artiges¹⁵⁴, Amelia A. Assareh¹³⁴, Rosa Ayesa-Arriola^{136,155}, Aysenil Belger^{61,156}, Christine L. Brandt^{13,14}, Gregory G. Brown^{157,158}, Sven Cichon^{63,64,78}, Joanne E. Curran¹⁹, Gareth E. Davies¹⁵⁹, Franziska Degenhardt⁶⁹, Michelle F. Dennis⁶², Bruno Dietsche¹⁶⁰, Srdjan Djurovic^{161,162}, Colin P. Doherty¹⁶³⁻¹⁶⁵, Ryan Espiritu¹⁶⁶, 15 Daniel Garijo¹⁶⁶, Yolanda Gil¹⁶⁶, Penny A. Gowland¹⁶⁷, Robert C. Green¹⁶⁸⁻¹⁷⁰, Alexander N.

Häusler^{171,172}, Walter Heindel¹⁷³, Beng-Choon Ho¹⁷⁴, Wolfgang U. Hoffmann^{133,150}, Florian Holsboer^{68,175}, Georg Homuth¹⁷⁶, Norbert Hosten¹⁷⁷, Clifford R. Jack Jr.¹⁷⁸, MiHyun Jang¹⁶⁶, Andreas Jansen^{160,179}, Nathan A. Kimbrel^{62,180}, Knut Kolskår^{13,14,120,121}, Sanne Koops²⁹, Axel Krug¹⁶⁰, Kelvin O. Lim¹⁸¹, Jurjen J. Luykx^{29,182,183}, Daniel H. Mathalon^{184,185}, Karen A. Mather^{128,134}, Venkata S. Mattay^{35,186,187}, Sarah Matthews¹⁴⁶, Jaqueline Mayoral Van Son^{136,155}, Sarah C. McEwen^{188,189}, Ingrid Melle^{13,14}, Derek W. Morris³², Bryon A. Mueller¹⁸¹, Matthias Nauck^{190,191}, Jan E. Nordvik¹²¹, Markus M. Nöthen⁶⁹, Daniel S. O'Leary¹⁷⁴, Nils Opel³¹, Marie-Laure Paillère Martinot^{154,192}, G. Bruce Pike¹⁹³, Adrian Preda¹⁹⁴, Erin B. Quinlan¹⁵³, Paul E. Rasser^{27,195-197}, Varun Ratnakar¹⁶⁶, Simone Reppermund^{134,198}, Vidar M. Steen^{162,199}, Paul A. 10 Tooney^{26,197}, Fábio R. Torres^{41,42}, Dick J. Veltman¹⁰⁸, James T. Voyvodic⁶¹, Robert Whelan²⁰⁰, Tonya White^{143,201}, Hidenaga Yamamori²⁰², Hieab H.H. Adams²⁰³⁻²⁰⁵, Joshua C. Bis²⁰⁶, Stephanie Debette^{207,208}, Charles Decarli²⁰⁹, Myriam Fornage²¹⁰, Vilmundur Gudnason^{211,212}, Edith Hofer^{213,214}, M. Arfan Ikram²⁰³, Lenore Launer²¹⁵, W. T. Longstreth²¹⁶, Oscar L. Lopez^{203,217}, Bernard Mazoyer²¹⁸, Thomas H. Mosley ²¹⁹, Gennady V. Roshchupkin^{203,204,217}, 15 Claudia L. Satizabal²²⁰⁻²²², Reinhold Schmidt²¹³, Sudha Seshadri^{220,222,223}, Qiong Yang²²⁴, The Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative#, CHARGE consortium#, EPIGEN consortium#, IMAGEN consortium#, SYS consortium#, The Parkinson's Progression Markers Initiative#, Marina K.M. Alvim^{42,152}, David Ames^{225,226}, Tim J. Anderson^{105-107,227}, Ole A. Andreassen^{13,14}, Alejandro Arias-Vasquez^{6,7,122}, Mark E. Bastin^{59,100}, Bernhard T. Baune^{31,228,229}, Jean C. 20 Beckham^{180,230}, John Blangero¹⁹, Dorret I. Boomsma⁴⁴, Henry Brodaty^{134,231}, Han G. Brunner^{6,7,232}, Randy L. Buckner²³³⁻²³⁵, Jan K. Buitelaar^{7,102,236}, Juan R. Bustillo²³⁷, Wiepke Cahn²³⁸, Murray J. Cairns^{26,27,239}, Vince Calhoun²⁴⁰, Vaughan J. Carr^{56,57,241}, Xavier Caseras⁹⁶, Svenja Caspers^{78,80,242}, Gianpiero L. Cavalleri^{243,244}, Fernando Cendes^{42,152}, Aiden Corvin²⁴⁵, Benedicto Crespo-Facorro^{136,155,246}, John C. Dalrymple-Alford^{106,107,247}, Udo Dannlowski³¹, Eco $J.S.$ de Geus⁴⁴, Ian J. Deary^{99,100}, Norman Delanty^{17,165}, Chantal Depondt²⁴⁸, Sylvane Desrivières^{77,153}, Gary Donohoe⁷⁰, Thomas Espeseth^{13,120}, Guillén Fernández^{7,102}, Simon E. Fisher^{7,124}, Herta Flor²⁴⁹, Andreas J. Forstner^{63,64,69,250,251}, Clyde Francks^{7,124}, Barbara Franke^{6,7,122}, David C. Glahn^{104,252}, Randy L. Gollub^{97,234,235}, Hans J. Grabe^{72,150}, Oliver Gruber⁹³, Asta K. Håberg^{253,254}, Ahmad R. Hariri⁹², Catharina A. Hartman²⁵⁵, Ryota 30 Hashimoto^{202,256,257}, Andreas Heinz²⁵⁸, Frans A. Henskens^{195,259}, Manon H.J. Hillegers^{143,260}, Pieter J. Hoekstra²⁶¹, Avram J. Holmes^{234,262}, L. Elliot Hong²⁶³, William D. Hopkins²⁶⁴, Hilleke E. Hulshoff Pol²⁹, Terry L. Jernigan^{39,49,157,265}, Erik G. Jönsson^{14,16}, René S. Kahn^{29,266}, Martin A. Kennedy¹¹⁹, Tilo T.J. Kircher¹⁶⁰, Peter Kochunov²⁶³, John B.J. Kwok^{128,129,267}, Stephanie Le Hellard^{162,199}, Carmel M. Loughland^{195,268}, Nicholas G. Martin³⁷, Jean-Luc Martinot¹⁵⁴, Colm 35 McDonald³², Katie L. McMahon²⁶⁹, Andreas Meyer-Lindenberg²⁷⁰, Patricia T. Michie²⁷¹, Rajendra A. Morey^{61,62}, Bryan Mowry^{12,272}, Lars Nyberg^{21,22,273}, Jaap Oosterlaan²⁷⁴⁻²⁷⁶, Roel A. Ophoff¹¹⁶, Christos Pantelis^{228,229,277}, Tomas Paus²⁷⁸⁻²⁸⁰, Zdenka Pausova^{28,281}, Brenda W.J.H. Penninx¹⁰⁸, Tinca J.C. Polderman⁷³, Danielle Posthuma^{73,282}, Marcella Rietschel¹⁴⁹, Joshua L. Roffman²³⁴, Laura M. Rowland²⁶³, Perminder S. Sachdev^{134,283}, Philipp G. Sämann⁶⁸, Ulrich 40 Schall^{27,197}, Gunter Schumann^{75,77,153,284,285}, Rodney J. Scott^{26,286}, Kang Sim²⁸⁷, Sanjay M. Sisodiya^{86,288}, Jordan W. Smoller^{53,234,289}, Iris E. Sommer^{144,260,261,290}, Beate St Pourcain^{7,124,146}, Dan J. Stein^{291,292}, Arthur W. Toga²³, Julian N. Trollor^{134,198}, Nic J.A. Van der Wee²⁹³, Dennis van 't Ent⁴⁴, Henry Völzke¹³³, Henrik Walter⁴⁸, Bernd Weber^{171,172}, Daniel R. Weinberger^{35,294}, Margaret J. Wright^{12,295}, Juan Zhou²⁹⁶, Jason L. Stein^{11§*}, Paul M. Thompson^{2§*}, Sarah E. 45 Medland^{1,3,9§*} on behalf of the Enhancing NeuroImaging Genetics through Meta-Analysis Consortium - Genetics working group

Affiliations:

Netherlands.

Australia.

Department of Physiology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada. *Department of Clinical Genetics, Vrije Universiteit Medical Centre, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands*. *Neuropsychiatric Institute, The Prince of Wales Hospital, Sydney, Australia*. *PONS Research Group, Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapie, Charité Campus Mitte, Humboldt University Berlin, Berlin, Germany*. *Leibniz Institute for Neurobiology, Magdeburg, Germany*. *Division of Molecular Medicine, John Hunter Hospital, New Lambton Heights, Australia*. *General Psychiatry, Institute of Mental Health, Singapore, Singapore*. *Chalfont Centre for Epilepsy, Chalfont-St-Peter, UK*. *Stanley Center for Psychiatric Research, Broad Institute, Boston, USA*. *Department of Medical and Biological Psychology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway*. *Department of Psychiatry and Neuroscience Institute, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa*. ²⁹²*MRC Unit on Risk & Resilience in Mental Disorders, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa*. *Department of Psychiatry, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands*. *Psychiatry, Neurology, Neuroscience, Genetics, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA*. *Centre for Advanced Imaging, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia*.

²⁹⁶ *Center for Cognitive Neuroscience, Neuroscience and behavioral disorders program, Duke-National University of Singapore Medical School, Singapore, Singapore*.

30

Abstract: The cerebral cortex underlies our complex cognitive capabilities, yet we know little about the specific genetic loci influencing human cortical structure. To identify genetic variants impacting cortical structure, we conducted a genome-wide association meta-analysis of brain MRI data from 51,665 individuals. We analyzed the surface area and average thickness of the whole 5 cortex and 34 regions with known functional specializations. We identified 237 significant loci and found significant enrichment for loci influencing total surface area within regulatory elements active during prenatal cortical development, supporting the radial unit hypothesis. Loci impacting regional surface area cluster near genes in Wnt signaling pathways, which influence progenitor expansion and areal identity. Variation in cortical structure is genetically correlated with cognitive 10 function, Parkinson's disease, insomnia, depression, neuroticism, and ADHD.

One Sentence Summary: Common genetic variation is associated with inter-individual variation in the structure of the human cortex, both globally and within specific regions, and is shared with genetic risk factors for some neuropsychiatric disorders.

Main Text: The human cerebral cortex is the outer grey matter layer of the brain, which is 15 implicated in multiple aspects of higher cognitive function. Its distinct folding pattern is characterized by convex (*gyral*) and concave (*sulcal*) regions. Computational brain mapping approaches use the consistent folding patterns across individual cortices to label brain regions (*1*). During fetal development excitatory neurons, the predominant neuronal cell-type in the cortex, are generated from neural progenitor cells in the developing germinal zone (*2*). The radial unit 20 hypothesis (*3*) posits that the expansion of cortical surface area (SA) is driven by the proliferation of these neural progenitor cells, whereas thickness (TH) is determined by the number of their neurogenic divisions. Variation in global and regional measures of cortical SA and TH have been reliably associated with neuropsychiatric disorders and psychological traits (*4*) (table S1). Twin and family-based brain imaging studies indicate that SA and TH measurements are highly heritable 25 and are influenced by largely different genetic factors (*5-7*). Despite extensive studies of genes impacting cortical structure in model organisms, our current understanding of the genetic variation impacting human cortical size and patterning is limited to rare, highly penetrant variants (*8, 9*). These variants often disrupt cortical development, leading to altered postnatal structure. However, little is known about how common genetic variants impact human cortical SA and TH.

To identify genetic loci associated with variation in the human cortex we conducted genome-wide association meta-analyses of cortical SA and TH measures in 51,665 individuals from 60 cohorts from around the world, who were primarily of European descent (~94%; tables S2–S4). Cortical measures were extracted from structural brain MRI scans in 34 regions defined by the commonly 35 used Desikan-Killiany atlas, which establishes coarse partitions of the cortex. The regional boundaries are based on gyral anatomy labeled from between the depths of the sulci (*10, 11*). We analyzed two global measures, total SA and average TH, and SA and TH for the 34 regions averaged across both hemispheres, yielding 70 distinct phenotypes (Fig. 1A; table S1).

40 Within each cohort genome-wide association (GWAS) for each of the 70 phenotypes was conducted using an additive model. To identify genetic influences specific to each region, the primary GWAS of regional measures included the global measure of SA or TH as a covariate. To estimate the multiple testing burden associated with analyzing 70 phenotypes we used matrix spectral decomposition (*12*), which yielded 60 independent traits, and a multiple-testing 45 significance threshold of $P \leq 8.3 \times 10^{-10}$.

The principal meta-analysis comprised results from 33,992 participants of European ancestry (23,909 from 49 cohorts participating in ENIGMA and 10,083 from the UK Biobank). We sought replication for loci reaching genome-wide significance ($P \le 5 \times 10^{-8}$) in an additional ENIGMA cohort (777 participants) and with the CHARGE consortium (*13*) (13,952 participants). In 5 addition, we meta-analyzed eight cohorts of non-European ancestry (2,944 participants) to examine the generalization of these effects across ancestries. High genetic correlations were observed between the meta-analyzed ENIGMA European cohorts and the UK Biobank cohort using LD-score regression (total SA $r_G = 1.00$, *Z*-score $P_{rG} = 2.7$ x $10⁻²⁷$, average TH $r_G = 0.91$, *Z*score $P_{rG} = 1.7 \times 10^{-19}$, indicating consistent genetic architecture between the 49 ENIGMA cohorts 10 and data collected from a single scanner at the primary UK Biobank imaging site.

Across the 70 cortical phenotypes we identified 369 loci that were genome-wide significant in the principal meta-analysis ($P \le 5 \times 10^{-8}$; Fig. 1B; table S5). Of these, 190 have not been previously associated with either intracranial volume or cortical SA, TH, or volume (*13-18*). Twenty five of 15 these were insertions or deletions (INDELs). Fourteen INDELs had a proxy single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) available in the European replication data; no proxies were available for nine INDELs and one SNP. Of the 360 loci for which the SNP or a proxy was available, 307 (SA: 241, TH: 66) remained genome-wide significant when the replication data were included in the metaanalysis, with 237 passing multiple testing correction ($\overline{P} \le 8.3 \times 10^{-10}$; SA: 187, TH: 50). Of the 20 307 loci, 292 were available in the meta-analysis of non-European cohorts. The 95% confidence intervals around the non-European meta-analysis effect sizes included those from the European meta-analysis for 238 of these loci. Of the 292 loci available in the non-European cohorts, 279 had effects in the same direction in both the European and non-European meta-analyses, and 136 became more significant when the whole sample was meta-analyzed (table S5; fig. S1). Variability 25 in effects across ancestry may be due to differences in allele frequency; however, the power for these comparisons is limited and further comparisons with larger non-European cohorts will help clarify the generalizability of these effects (table S5). We examined gene-based effects (allowing for a 50 kb window around genes), and found significant associations for 313 genes across the 70 cortical phenotypes (table S6). The meta-analytic results are summarized as Manhattan, QQ, 30 Forest, and LocusZoom plots (figs. S2–S5).

Genetics of total SA and average TH

Common variants explained 34% ($SE = 3\%$) of the variation in total SA and 26% ($SE = 2\%$) in average TH. These estimates account for more than a third of the heritability estimated from the 35 QTIM twin sample (91% for total SA and 64% for average TH; table S7), indicating that more genetic variants, including rare variants, are yet to be identified. To examine the extent to which our results could predict SA and TH, we derived polygenic scores (PRS) from the principal metaanalysis results. These scores significantly predicted SA and TH in an independent sample of 5,095 European participants, explaining between 2–3% of the trait variance (given a PRS threshold of *P* 40 \leq 0.01 R^2 _{SA} = 0.029, linear regression coefficient *t*-test *P* = 6.54 x 10⁻⁵⁰; R^2 _{TH} = 0.022, *t*-test *P* = 3.34 x 10^{-33} ; table S8).

We observed a significant negative genetic correlation between total SA and average TH ($r_G = -$ 0.32, $SE = 0.05$, *Z*-score $P_{rG} = 6.5 \times 10^{-12}$; Fig. 2A), which persisted after excluding the 45 chromosome 17 inversion region known to influence brain size (14) ($r_G = -0.31$, $SE = 0.05$, *Z*-score P_{rG} = 3.3 x 10⁻¹²). Genetic correlations could indicate causal relationships between traits,

pleiotropy, or a genetic mediator influencing both traits. Latent causal variable (LCV) analysis, which tests for causality using genome-wide data (*19*), showed no evidence of causation (LCV genetic causality proportion gcp = 0.06, *t*-test $P_{gcp=0}$ = 0.729). The negative correlation suggests that genetic influences have opposing effects on SA and TH, which may result from pleiotropic 5 effects or genetic effects on a mediating trait that, for example, might constrain total cortical volume. The absence of causality and the small magnitude of this correlation is consistent with the radial unit hypothesis (*3*), whereby different developmental mechanisms promote SA expansion and increases in TH.

10 As expected, total SA showed a positive genetic correlation with intracranial volume (ICV); this correlation remained after controlling for height demonstrating that this relationship is not solely driven by body size (Fig. 2A; table S8). The global cortical measures did not show significant genetic correlations with the volumes of major subcortical structures (Fig. 2A) except for total SA and the hippocampus, consistent with their shared telencephalic developmental origin.

To identify if common variation associated with cortical structure relate to gene regulation within a given tissue type, developmental time period, or cell-type, we performed partitioned heritability analyses (*20*) using sets of gene regulatory annotations from adult and fetal brain tissues (*21, 22*). Total SA and average TH showed the strongest enrichment of heritability within genomic regions 20 of active gene regulation (promoters and enhancers) in brain tissue and *in vitro* neural models derived from stem cell differentiation (Fig. 2B; fig. S6A). To examine temporally specific regulatory elements, we selected those active regulatory elements specifically present in either mid-fetal brain or adult cortex. Total SA showed significant enrichment of heritability only within mid-fetal specific active regulatory elements, whereas average TH showed significant enrichment 25 only within adult specific active regulatory elements (Fig. 2C, fig S6B). Stronger enrichment was found in regions of the fetal cortex with more accessible chromatin in the neural progenitorenriched germinal zone than in the neuron-enriched cortical plate (fig. S6C), similar to a previous analysis for intracranial volume (*21*). We then performed an additional partitioned heritability enrichment analysis using regulatory elements associated with cell-type specific gene expression 30 derived from a large single-cell RNA-seq study of the human fetal brain (*23*). This analysis revealed significant enrichment of total SA heritability in all progenitor cell-types including those in active phases of mitosis as well as three different classes of progenitor cells including outer radial glia cells, a cell-type associated with expansion of cortical surface area in human evolution (*2*) (Fig 2D, fig S6D). We also identified significant enrichments in upper layer excitatory neurons, 35 oligodendrocyte progenitor cells, and microglia. These findings suggest that total SA is influenced by common genetic variants that may alter gene regulatory activity in neural progenitor cells during fetal development, supporting the radial unit hypothesis (*3*). In contrast, the strongest evidence of enrichment for average TH was found in active regulatory elements in the adult brain samples, which may reflect processes occurring after mid-fetal development, such as myelination, 40 branching, or pruning (*24*).

We conducted pathway analyses to determine if there was enrichment of association near genes in known biological pathways (*25*). We found 91 significant gene-sets for total SA and four for average TH (table S9). Gene-sets associated with total SA included chromatin binding, a process 45 guiding neurodevelopmental fate decisions (*26*) (table S9, fig. S7A). In addition, consistent with

the partitioned heritability analyses implicating neural progenitor cells in total SA, gene ontology terms relevant to cell-cycle also showed significant enrichment in these analyses.

Loci influencing total SA and average TH

5 Seventeen of the 255 replicated loci were associated with total SA; 12 survived correction for multiple testing (Fig. 2E, table S5). Eight loci influencing total SA have been previously associated with ICV (14). These include rs79600142 (principal meta-analysis $P_{MA} = 2.3 \times 10^{-32}$; replication P_{rep} = 3.5 x 10⁻⁴³; *P*-values reported from all meta-analytic results were for *Z*-scores from fixedeffect meta-analyses), in the highly pleiotropic chromosome 17q21.31 inversion region, which has 10 been associated with Parkinson's disease (*27*), educational attainment (*28*), and neuroticism (*29*). On 10q24.33, rs1628768 (*Z*-score $P_{MA} = 1.7 \times 10^{-13}$; $P_{rep} = 1.0 \times 10^{-17}$) was shown by our bioinformatic annotations (*30*) to be an expression quantitative trait locus (eQTL) influencing expression levels of the *INA* gene*,* and of the schizophrenia candidate genes (*31*) *AS3MT*, *NT5C2* and *WBP1L* (linear regression coefficient *t*-test false discovery rate (FDR) corrected *P*-value for 15 the association of rs1628768 with expression data from surrounding genes *FDRCommonMind* $\text{Consortium}(CMC)$ < 1.0 x 10⁻²; tables S11–S12). This region has been associated with schizophrenia, however, rs1628768 is in low linkage disequilibrium (LD) with the schizophrenia-associated SNP rs11191419 ($r^2 = 0.15$; (32)). The 6q21 locus influencing total SA is intronic to *FOXO3* (which also showed a significant gene-based association with total SA, table S6). The major allele of the lead variant rs2802295 is associated with larger total SA (*Z*-score $P_{MA} = 2.5 \times 10^{-10}$; $P_{rep} = 2.5 \times 10^{-10}$ 10^{-13}) and is in complete LD with rs2490272, a SNP previously associated with higher general cognitive function (*33*).

One locus not previously associated with ICV was rs11171739 (*Z*-score $P_{MA} = 8.4 \times 10^{-10}$; $P_{rep} =$ 25 8.1 x 10^{-11}) on 12q13.2. This SNP is in high LD with SNPs associated with educational attainment (*28*), and is an eQTL for *RPS26* in fetal (*34*) and adult cortex (*30*)(*t*-test of Pearson's *r FDRFETAL* $= 2.0 \times 10^{-24}$, empirical *t*-test of Pearson's *r* FDR_{Genotype-Tissue Expression(GTEx) = 3.3 x 10⁻⁴⁰; tables S11–} S12). On 3p24.1, rs12630663 (*Z*-score $P_{MA} = 1.3 \times 10^{-8}$; $P_{rep} = 1.4 \times 10^{-8}$) is of interest due to its proximity (~200kb) to *EOMES* (also known as *TBR2*), which is expressed specifically in 30 intermediate progenitor cells in the developing fetal cortex (*35*). rs12630663 is located in a chromosomal region with chromatin accessibility specific to the germinal zone in the human fetal cortex (*21*). Putatively causal SNPs in this region (table S13) show significant chromatin interactions with the *EOMES* promoter (*36*). The region also contains numerous regulatory elements that when excised via CRISPR/Cas9 in differentiating neural progenitor cells 35 significantly reduced *EOMES* expression (*21*). A rare homozygous chromosomal translocation in the region separating the regulatory elements from *EOMES* (fig. S8) silences *EOMES* expression and causes microcephaly (*37*), demonstrating that rare and common non-coding variation can have similar phenotypic consequences, but to different degrees.

40 The two replicated loci associated with average TH, neither of which have been previously identified, survived correction for multiple testing (Fig. 2E; table S5). On 3p22.1, rs533577 (*Z*score $P_{MA} = 8.4 \times 10^{-11}$; $P_{rep} = 3.7 \times 10^{-12}$) is a fetal cortex eQTL (*t*-test *FDR_{FETAL}*= 1.8 x 10⁻⁴) for *RPSA,* encoding a 40S ribosomal protein with a potential role as a laminin receptor (*38*). Laminins are major constituents of extracellular matrix, and have critical roles in neurogenesis, neuronal 45 differentiation and migration (39). On 2q11.2, rs11692435 (*Z*-score $P_{MA} = 3.2 \times 10^{-10}$; $P_{rep} = 4.5 \times 10^{-10}$ 10-10) encodes a missense variant (p.A143V) predicted to impact ACTR1B protein function (*40*),

and is an *ACTR1B* eQTL in fetal cortex (*t*-test *FDRFETAL* = 3.9 x 10-2) (tables S11–S12). *ACTR1B* is a subunit of the dynactin complex involved in microtubule remodeling, which is important for neuronal migration (*41*).

5 **Genetics of regional SA and TH**

The amount of phenotypic variance explained by common variants was higher for SA (8–31%) than TH $(5-21%)$ for each of the specific cortical regions (Fig. 3A–B; table S7). To focus on region specific influences we controlled for global measures in the regional GWAS, which reduced the covariance between the regional measures (tables S14–S15). Similar to the genetic correlation 10 between global SA and TH, when significant, genetic correlations between regional SA and TH within the same region were moderate and negative (tables $S14-S15$). This suggests that genetic variants contributing to the expansion of SA in a specific region tend to also contribute to thinner TH in that region.

15 Genetic correlations between regions were calculated separately for SA and TH. Most genetic correlations between regions did not survive multiple testing correction. For SA significant positive genetic correlations were generally found between physically adjacent regions and negative correlations between more distal regions (Fig. 3A). This pattern mirrored the phenotypic correlations between regions and was also observed for TH (Fig. 3A–B). Consistent with this, 20 hierarchical clustering of the genetic correlations resulted in a general grouping by physical proximity (fig. S9). These positive genetic correlations were strongest between SA of regions surrounding the major, early forming sulci (e.g., pericalcarine, lingual, cuneus, and lateral occipital regions surrounding the calcarine sulcus), which may potentially reflect genetic effects acting on the development of the sulci (*11*).

25

To further investigate biological pathways influencing areal (regional) identity, we aggregated association statistics using multivariate GWAS analyses (*42*) separately for regional SA and TH. These analyses identify variants shared across regions and those within specific regions while accounting for the phenotypic correlations between regions. Pathway analyses of the multivariate 30 SA results showed significant enrichment for 903 gene sets (table S10), many of which are involved in Wnt signaling, with the canonical Wnt signaling pathway showing the strongest enrichment (*Z*-score, $P = 8.8 \times 10^{-11}$). Wnt proteins regulate neural progenitor fate decisions (43, *44*) and are expressed in spatially specific manners influencing areal identity (*45*). Pathway analyses of the multivariate TH results did not yield any findings that survived multiple testing 35 correction.

Loci influencing regional SA and TH

A total of 224 loci were nominally associated with regional SA and 64 with regional TH; of these 175 SA and 48 TH loci survived multiple testing correction (table S5). As shown in Fig. 1B, most 40 loci were associated with a single cortical region. Of the loci influencing regional measures, few were also associated with global measures. Those that were showed effects in the same direction, implying that the significant regional loci were not due to collider bias (*46*) (fig. S10).

The strongest regional association was observed on chromosome 15q14 with the precentral SA (rs1080066, *Z*-score $P_{MA} = 1.8 \times 10^{-137}$; $P_{rep} = 4.6 \times 10^{-189}$; variance explained = 1.03%; Fig. 4A). Across 12 traits we observed 48 independent significant associations from 21 LD blocks (*r* 2

threshold ≤ 0.02 ; see Fig. 4B, table S5). As we observed strong association with the SA of both pre- and post-central gyri (Fig. 4C), we localized the association within the central sulcus in 5,993 unrelated individuals from the UK Biobank. The most significant association between rs1080066 and sulcal depth was observed around the *pli de passage fronto-pariétal moyen* (linear regression coefficient *t*-test $P = 7.9 \times 10^{-21}$, a region associated with hand fine-motor function in humans (*47*), which shows distinct depth patterns across different species of primates (*48*) (Fig. 4D). rs1080066 is a fetal cortex eQTL for a downstream gene $EIF2AK4$ (*t*-test $FDR_{FETAL} = 4.8 \times 10^{-2}$) encoding the GCN2 protein, which is a negative regulator of synaptic plasticity, memory and neuritogenesis (*49*). The functional data also highlight *THBS1* via chromatin interaction between 10 the rs1080066 region and the promoter in neural progenitor cells and an eQTL effect in whole blood (*Z*-score $FDR_{BIOS\ngenelveel} = 6.1 \times 10^{-6}$). *THBS1* has roles in synaptogenesis and the maintenance of synaptic integrity (*50*).

Consistent with enrichment in the pathway analyses, a number of other loci were located in regions 15 with functional links to genes involved in Wnt signaling (fig. S7B), including 1p13.2, where rs2999158 (lingual SA, *Z*-score $P_{MA} = 1.9 \times 10^{-11}$, $P_{rep} = 3.0 \times 10^{-11}$; pericalcarine SA, *Z*-score P_{MA} $= 1.9 \times 10^{-11}$; $P_{rep} = 9.9 \times 10^{-16}$) is an eQTL for *ST7L* and *WNT2B* (*t*-test FDR_{*CMC*} < 1.0 x 10⁻²) in adult cortex (tables S11–S12). On 14q23.1, we observed 22 significant loci (table S5) from five LD blocks. Our strongest association here was for the precuneus SA (rs73313052: *Z*-score *PMA* = 20 1.1 x 10^{-24} ; $P_{rep} = 2.2 \times 10^{-35}$). These loci are located near *DACT1* and *DAAM1*, both involved in synapse formation and critical members of the Wnt signaling cascade (*51, 52*). rs73313052 and high LD proxies are eQTLs for *DAAM1* (*t*-test FDR_{CMC} < 1.0 x 10⁻²) in adult cortex (tables S11– S12).

25 Several of our regional associations occur near genes with known roles in brain development. For example, on chromosome 1p22.2, rs1413536 (associated with the inferior parietal SA: *Z*-score *PMA* $= 1.6 \times 10^{-10}$; $P_{rep} = 3.1 \times 10^{-14}$) is an eQTL in adult cortex for *LMO4* (*t*-test *FDR_{CMC}* < 1.0 x 10⁻²), with chromatin interactions between the region housing both this SNP and rs59373415 (which is associated with the precuneus SA: *Z*-score $P_{MA} = 1.6 \times 10^{-10}$, $P_{rep} = 5.3 \times 10^{-12}$) and the *LMO4* 30 promoter in neural progenitor cells (table S11–S12). *Lmo4* is one of the few genes already known to be involved in areal identity specification in the mammalian brain (*53*).

Genetic relationships with other traits

To examine shared genetic effects between cortical structure and other traits, we performed genetic 35 correlation analyses with GWAS summary statistics from 23 selected traits. We observed significant positive genetic correlations between total SA and general cognitive function (*54*), educational attainment (*28*), and Parkinson's disease (*27*), indicating that allelic influences resulting in larger total SA are in part shared with those influencing greater cognitive capabilities as well as an increased risk for Parkinson's disease. For total SA, significant negative genetic 40 correlations were detected with insomnia (*55*), attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD; *56*), depressive symptoms (*57*), major depressive disorder (*58*), and neuroticism (*29*) (Fig. 5A; table S16), again indicating that allelic influences resulting in smaller total SA are in part shared with those influencing an increased risk for these disorders and traits. To map the magnitude of these effects across the brain, we calculated the genetic correlations across the cortical regions 45 without correction for the global measures (Fig. 5B). Genetic correlations with average TH did not survive multiple testing correction, perhaps due to the weaker genetic associations detected in the

TH analyses. At the regional level, significant genetic correlations were observed between

educational attainment and cortical thickness in the inferior parietal, precentral and rostral anterior cingulate regions (rG = -0.22 , 0.16 and -0.16 ; Z-score PrG = 2.0 x 10-6, 6.8 x 10-5 and 8.0 x 10-5 respectively). Significant genetic correlations were also observed between precentral thickness 5 and general cognitive function ($rG = 0.19$, Z-score $PrG = 8.8 \times 10^{-7}$) as well as between the posterior cingulate thickness and subjective well-being ($rG = 0.25$, Z-score $PrG = 3.4 \times 10^{-5}$). To confirm these correlations were not driven by the presence of cases within the meta-analysis, genetic correlations were recalculated from a meta-analysis of GWAS from population-based cohorts and GWAS of controls from the case-control cohorts ($N = 28,503$). All genetic correlations 10 remained significant with the exception of the genetic correlation between total SA and depressive symptoms (table S17).

We performed bidirectional Mendelian randomization (MR; *59*) and LCV (*19*) analyses to investigate potential causal relationships underlying the observed genetic correlations with total 15 SA. Both methods provided evidence of a causal effect of total SA on general cognitive function (inverse variance weighted MR b_{MR-IVW} = 0.15, SE = 0.01, *Z*-score $P = 4.6 \times 10^{-8}$; LCV gcp = 0.40, 95% CIs [0.23–0.57], *t*-test $P_{gcp=0} = 1.4 \times 10^{-9}$ and educational attainment ($b_{MR-IVW} = 0.12$, SE = 0.01, *Z*-score $P = 2.1 \times 10^{-21}$; gcp = 0.49, 95% CIs [0.26–0.72], *t*-test $P_{gcp=0} = 8.0 \times 10^{-9}$ (table S18–S19). The MR analyses also indicated association in the reverse direction for both general 20 cognitive function and education years (table S18); however, this was not supported by the LCV analyses (table S19). There was limited to no support for a causal relationship in either direction between total SA and the six other traits that showed significant genetic correlations (table S18– S19). Taken together these findings suggest that the previously reported phenotypic relationships between cortical surface area and general cognitive function (*60, 61*) may in part reflect underlying 25 causal processes.

Discussion

Here we present a large-scale collaborative investigation of the effects of common genetic variation on human cortical structure using data from 51,665 individuals from 60 cohorts. Current 30 knowledge of genes impacting cortical structure has been derived largely from creating mutations in model systems, such as the mouse, and observing impacts on brain structure (*8*). Given the differences between mouse and human cortical structures (*62*), this study provides an important genome-wide insight into human variation and genes impacting a characteristically human phenotype. Previous studies have identified rare variants that have large effects on cortical 35 structure in humans (*8*), and this study adds to the catalog of the type of variation that impacts human cortical structure.

We show that the genetic architecture of the cortex is highly polygenic and that variants often have a specific effect on individual cortical regions. This suggests that there are distinct genes involved 40 in the development of specific cortical areas and raises the possibility of developmental and regional specificity in eQTL effects. We also find that rare variants and common variants in similar locations in the genome can lead to similar effects on brain structure, though to different degrees. For example, a balanced chromosomal translocation near *EOMES* leads to microcephaly in a region abutting a common variant signal associated with small changes in cortical surface area 45 (fig. S8).

We provide evidence that genetic variation impacting gene regulation in progenitor cell-types, present in fetal development, impacts adult cortical surface area. This is consistent with the radial unit hypothesis, which states that an increase in proliferative divisions of neural progenitor cells leads to an expansion of the pool of progenitors resulting in increases in neuronal production and 5 cortical surface area (*3, 62*). Notably, we see an enrichment of heritability in cortical surface area within regulatory elements that influence outer radial glia cells, this cell-type is considerably more prevalent in gyrencephalic species such as humans and has been hypothesized to account for the increased progenitor pool size in humans (*2*).

10 We also find that Wnt signaling genes influence areal expansion in humans, as previously reported in model organisms such as mice (*45*). Cortical thickness was associated with loci near genes implicated in cell differentiation, migration, adhesion, and myelination. Consequently, molecular studies in the appropriate tissues, such as neural progenitor cells and their differentiated neurons, will be critical to map the involvement of specific genes.

We demonstrate that genetic variation associated with brain structure also impacts general cognitive function, Parkinson's disease, depression, neuroticism, ADHD, and insomnia. This implies that genetic variants impacting brain structure also impact brain function. While most of the structural differences in the cortex observed in these disorders have been reported for thickness, 20 our results show significant genetic correlations in surface area. This might suggest the phenotypic differences observed in cortical thickness (table S1) partially reflect environmental influences, effects of illness or of treatment. We find evidence that brain structure is an important phenotype along the causal pathway leading from genetic variation to differences in general cognitive function and educational attainment.

25

15

In summary, this work identifies genome-wide significant loci associated with cortical surface area and thickness and provides a deeper understanding of the genetic architecture of the human cerebral cortex and its patterning.

30 **Materials and Methods Summary:**

Participants

Participants were genotyped individuals with cortical MRI data, from 60 cohorts. Participants in all cohorts in this study gave written informed consent and each site obtained approval from local research ethics committees or Institutional Review Boards. Ethics approval for the meta-analysis 35 was granted by the QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute Human Research Ethics Committee (approval: *P2204*).

Imaging

Measures of cortical SA and TH were derived from *in vivo* whole brain T1-weighted MRI scans 40 using FreeSurfer MRI processing software (*1*). SA and TH were quantified for each subject across the whole cortex and within 34 distinct gyral-defined regions according to the Desikan-Killiany atlas averaged across both hemispheres (*10*).

Genetic association analyses

Within each cohort, GWAS were conducted on each of the 70 imaging phenotypes. After quality control, these data were meta-analyzed using METAL (*63*). Initially the GWAS from European cohorts were meta-analyzed together, yielding the principal results that were used in all subsequent 5 analyses. We sought replication of the genome-wide significant loci with data from the CHARGE consortium. To examine generalization of effects, the GWAS from the non-European cohorts were meta-analyzed together, and finally we meta-analyzed the European with the non-European results. Polygenic scores were derived from the principal meta-analysis and used to predict the amount of variance explained by the association of common genetic variants with the cortical SA 10 and TH in an independent sample.

SNP heritability and tests for genetic correlations and causation

Heritability explained by common genetic variants (SNP heritability) was estimated using LD score regression (*64*). Genetic correlations between cortical regions were estimated using cross-15 trait LD score regression (*65*). To examine genetic relationships with other traits, we estimated genetic correlations using cross-trait LD score regression; to determine if these correlations were causal we used Mendelian randomization (*59*) and latent causal variable analyses (*19*).

Partitioned heritability

20 Partitioned heritability analysis was used to estimate the percentage of heritability explained by annotated regions of the genome (*66*). Heritability enrichment was first estimated in active regulatory elements across tissues and cell types (*21, 22*). Secondly, heritability enrichment was estimated in mid-fetal specific active regulatory elements and adult cortext specific active regulatory elements. Thirdly, heritability enrichment was estimated in regulatory elements of cell-25 type specific genes in fetal brain (*23*).

Functional follow-up

The principal meta-analytic results were followed up with gene-based association analysis using MAGMA (*67*). A multivariate analysis of the regional association results was conducted using 30 TATES (*42*). Pathway analyses were conducted on the global measures and the results from the multivariate analyses using DEPICT to identify enrichment of association in known genetic functional pathways (*25*). To identify putatively causal variants we performed fine-mapping with CAVIAR (*68*). Potential functional impact was investigated using FUMA (*30*), which annotates the SNP location, nearby enhancers or promoters, chromatin state, associated eQTLs, and the 35 potential for functional effects through predicted effects.

References and Notes:

- 1. B. Fischl, FreeSurfer. *Neuroimage* **62**, 774-781 (2012).
- 2. J. H. Lui, D. V. Hansen, A. R. Kriegstein, Development and evolution of the human neocortex. *Cell* **146**, 18- 40 36 (2011).
	- 3. P. Rakic, Specification of cerebral cortical areas. *Science* **241**, 170-176 (1988).
	- 4. P. M. Thompson *et al.*, ENIGMA and global neuroscience: a decade of large-scale studies of the brain in health and disease across more than 40 countries. . *PsyArXiv*, (2019).
- 5. M. S. Panizzon *et al.*, Distinct genetic influences on cortical surface area and cortical thickness. *Cereb Cortex* 45 **19**, 2728-2735 (2009).
	- 6. A. M. Winkler *et al.*, Cortical thickness or grey matter volume? The importance of selecting the phenotype for imaging genetics studies. *Neuroimage* **53**, 1135-1146 (2010).

- 7. L. T. Strike *et al.*, Genetic complexity of cortical structure: differences in genetic and environmental factors influencing cortical surface area and thickness. *Cereb Cortex*, (2018).
- 8. B. I. Bae, D. Jayaraman, C. A. Walsh, Genetic changes shaping the human brain. *Dev Cell* **32**, 423-434 (2015).
- 5 9. D. W. Meechan, T. M. Maynard, E. S. Tucker, A. S. LaMantia, Three phases of DiGeorge/22q11 deletion syndrome pathogenesis during brain development: patterning, proliferation, and mitochondrial functions of 22q11 genes. *Int J Dev Neurosci* **29**, 283-294 (2011).
	- 10. R. S. Desikan *et al.*, An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. *Neuroimage* **31**, 968-980 (2006).
- 10 11. See supplementary materials.
	- 12. D. R. Nyholt, A simple correction for multiple testing for single-nucleotide polymorphisms in linkage disequilibrium with each other. *Am J Hum Genet* **74**, 765-769 (2004).
		- 13. E. Hofer *et al.*, Genetic determinants of cortical structure (thickness, surface area and volumes) among disease free adults in the CHARGE consortium. *bioRxiv*, 409649 (2019).
- 15 14. H. H. Adams *et al.*, Novel genetic loci underlying human intracranial volume identified through genomewide association. *Nat Neurosci* **19**, 1569-1582 (2016).
	- 15. L. T. Elliott *et al.*, Genome-wide association studies of brain imaging phenotypes in UK Biobank. *Nature* **562**, 210-216 (2018).
- 16. M. A. Ikram *et al.*, Common variants at 6q22 and 17q21 are associated with intracranial volume. *Nat Genet* 20 **44**, 539-544 (2012).
	- 17. D. P. Hibar *et al.*, Common genetic variants influence human subcortical brain structures. *Nature* **520**, 224- 229 (2015).
		- 18. J. L. Stein *et al.*, Identification of common variants associated with human hippocampal and intracranial volumes. *Nat Genet* **44**, 552-561 (2012).
- 25 19. L. J. O'Connor, A. L. Price, Distinguishing genetic correlation from causation across 52 diseases and complex traits. *bioRxiv*, 205435 (2017).
	- 20. H. K. Finucane *et al.*, Heritability enrichment of specifically expressed genes identifies disease-relevant tissues and cell types. *Nat Genet* **50**, 621-629 (2018).
- 21. L. de la Torre-Ubieta *et al.*, The dynamic landscape of open chromatin during human cortical neurogenesis. 30 *Cell* **172**, 289-304.e218 (2018).
	- 22. Roadmap Epigenomics Consortium *et al.*, Integrative analysis of 111 reference human epigenomes. *Nature* **518**, 317-330 (2015).
		- 23. D. Polioudakis *et al.*, A Single-Cell Transcriptomic Atlas of Human Neocortical Development during Midgestation. *Neuron* **103**, 785-801 e788 (2019).
- 35 24. J. C. Silbereis, S. Pochareddy, Y. Zhu, M. Li, N. Sestan, The cellular and molecular landscapes of the developing human central nervous system. *Neuron* **89**, 248-268 (2016).
	- 25. T. H. Pers *et al.*, Biological interpretation of genome-wide association studies using predicted gene functions. *Nat Commun* **6**, 5890 (2015).
- 26. J. L. Ronan, W. Wu, G. R. Crabtree, From neural development to cognition: unexpected roles for chromatin. 40 *Nat Rev Genet* **14**, 347-359 (2013).
	- 27. M. A. Nalls *et al.*, Parkinson's disease genetics: identifying novel risk loci, providing causal insights and improving estimates of heritable risk. *bioRxiv*, 388165 (2018).
	- 28. J. J. Lee *et al.*, Gene discovery and polygenic prediction from a genome-wide association study of educational attainment in 1.1 million individuals. *Nat Genet* **50**, 1112-1121 (2018).
- 45 29. M. Nagel *et al.*, Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies for neuroticism in 449,484 individuals identifies novel genetic loci and pathways. *Nat Genet* **50**, 920-927 (2018).
	- 30. K. Watanabe, E. Taskesen, A. van Bochoven, D. Posthuma, Functional mapping and annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. *Nat Commun* **8**, 1826 (2017).
- 31. R. R. R. Duarte *et al.*, Genome-wide significant schizophrenia risk variation on chromosome 10q24 is 50 associated with altered cis-regulation of BORCS7, AS3MT, and NT5C2 in the human brain. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet* **171**, 806-814 (2016).
	- 32. Schizophrenia Psychiatric Genome‐Wide Association Study Consortium, Biological insights from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. *Nature* **511**, 421-427 (2014).
- 33. S. Sniekers *et al.*, Genome-wide association meta-analysis of 78,308 individuals identifies new loci and genes 55 influencing human intelligence. *Nat Genet* **49**, 1107-1112 (2017).

- 89. M. Verbanck, C. Y. Chen, B. Neale, R. Do, Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. *Nat Genet* **50**, 693-698 (2018).
- 90. J. J. Lee, M. McGue, W. G. Iacono, A. M. Michael, C. F. Chabris, The causal influence of brain size on 5 human intelligence: Evidence from within-family phenotypic associations and GWAS modeling. *Intelligence* **75**, 48-58 (2019).
	- 91. H. F. Porter, P. F. O'Reilly, Multivariate simulation framework reveals performance of multi-trait GWAS methods. *Sci Rep* **7**, 38837 (2017).
- 92. M. Kircher *et al.*, A general framework for estimating the relative pathogenicity of human genetic variants. 10 *Nat Genet* **46**, 310-315 (2014).
	- 93. A. P. Boyle *et al.*, Annotation of functional variation in personal genomes using RegulomeDB. *Genome Res* **22**, 1790-1797 (2012).
	- 94. The GTEx Consortium, Human genomics. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) pilot analysis: multitissue gene regulation in humans. *Science* **348**, 648-660 (2015).
- 15 95. A. Ramasamy *et al.*, Genetic variability in the regulation of gene expression in ten regions of the human brain. *Nat Neurosci* **17**, 1418-1428 (2014).
	- 96. M. Fromer *et al.*, Gene expression elucidates functional impact of polygenic risk for schizophrenia. *Nat Neurosci* **19**, 1442-1453 (2016).
- 97. D. Wang *et al.*, Comprehensive functional genomic resource and integrative model for the human brain. 20 *Science* **362**, (2018).
	- 98. P. M. Giusti-Rodriguez, P. F. Sullivan, Using three-dimensional regulatory chromatin interactions from adult and fetal cortex to interpret genetic results for psychiatric disorders and cognitive traits. *bioRxiv*, 406330 (2019).
- 99. D. V. Zhernakova *et al.*, Identification of context-dependent expression quantitative trait loci in whole blood. 25 *Nat Genet* **49**, 139-145 (2017).
	- 100. L. D. Ward, M. Kellis, HaploReg: a resource for exploring chromatin states, conservation, and regulatory motif alterations within sets of genetically linked variants. *Nucleic Acids Res* **40**, D930-934 (2012).
	- 101. M. Perrot, D. Riviere, J. F. Mangin, Cortical sulci recognition and spatial normalization. *Med Image Anal* **15**, 529-550 (2011).
- 30 102. D. Riviere *et al.*, Automatic recognition of cortical sulci of the human brain using a congregation of neural networks. *Med Image Anal* **6**, 77-92 (2002).
	- 103. W. D. Hopkins, O. Coulon, J. Mangin, Observer-independent characterization of sulcal landmarks and depth asymmetry in the central sulcus of the chimpanzee brain. *Neuroscience* **171**, 544-551 (2010).
- 104. O. Coulon *et al.*, Cortical localization via surface parameterization: a sulcus-based approach. *Neuroimage* 35 **31**, 29-185 (2006).
	- 105. O. Coulon *et al.*, Two new stable anatomical landmarks on the Central Sulcus: definition, automatic detection, and their relationship with primary motor functions of the hand. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc* **2011**, 7795-7798 (2011).
	- 106. M. F. Glasser *et al.*, A multi-modal parcellation of human cerebral cortex. *Nature* **536**, 171 (2016).
- 40 107. J. D. Power *et al.*, Functional network organization of the human brain. *Neuron* **72**, 665-678 (2011).
	- 108. B. T. T. Yeo *et al.*, The organization of the human cerebral cortex estimated by intrinsic functional connectivity. *Journal of neurophysiology* **106**, 1125-1165 (2011).
		- 109. G. W. Bruyn, Atlas of the cerebral sulci. *Clin Neurol Neurosur* **93**, 93 (1991).
- **Acknowledgments:** We thank K. Courtney for making panel A and M. R. Glass for making panel 45 C of the Research Article Summary figure. We thank all cohort participants for making this work possible. We thank the research support staff of all cohorts, including interviewers, computer and laboratory technicians, clerical workers, research scientists, volunteers, managers, receptionists, nurses, carers, participating general practitioners, and pharmacists. In addition, ALSPAC are grateful to midwives for their help in recruiting the families who participated in the study and 50 thank L. B. Clauss for help during the quality control process of the ALSPAC neuroimaging data. BETULA thank the Centre for Advanced Study at the Norwegian Academy of Science and Letters in Oslo for hosting collaborative projects and workshops between Norway and Sweden in 2011– 2012 and acknowledge that the image analyses were performed on resources provided by the

Swedish National Infrastructure for Computing at HPC2N in Umeå. BONN thank (in alphabetical order) M. Bartling, U. Broicher, L. Ehrmantraut, A. Maaser, B. Mahlow, S. Mentges, K. Raczka, L. Schinabeck, and P. Trautner for their support and help. CARDIFF thank researchers within Cardiff University who contributed to the MBBrains panel. DNS thank the staff of the Laboratory 5 of NeuroGenetics. FBIRN thank L. McMillan for overall study coordination and H. Mangalam, J. Farran, and A. Brenner for administering the University of California, Irvine High-Performance Computing cluster. GIG thank M. Keil, E. Diekhof, T. Melcher, and I. Henseler for assistance in data acquisition. IMpACT acknowledge that in this work samples from the Netherlands node of IMpACT were used and the work was carried out on the Dutch national e-infrastructure with the 10 support of the SURF Cooperative. MCIC thank colleagues who served as mentors, advisors, and supporters during the inception and conduct of the study, including D. Goff, G. Kuperberg, J. Goldstein, M. Shenton, R. McCarley, S. Heckers, C. Wible, R. Mesholam-Gately, and M. Vangel, staff and clinicians at each site responsible for data acquisition including S. Wallace, A. Cousins, R. Mesholam-Gately, S. Stufflebeam, O. Freudenreich, D. Holt, L. Kunkel, F. Fleming, G. He, H. 15 Johnson, R. Pierson, A. Caprihan, P. Somers, C. Portal, K. Norman, D. South, M. Doty, and H. Milner and the expert guidance on image and other types of data acquisition obtained from L. Friedman, S. Posse, J. Jovicich, and T. Wassink. MCIC also acknowledge the many research assistants, students and colleagues who assisted in data curation over the years since data acquisition was completed, including S. Wallace, C. Zyloney, K. Sawlani, J. Fries, A. Scott, D. 20 Wood, R. Wang, W. Courtney, A. Guimaraes, L. Shenkman, M. Kendi, A. T. Karagulle Kendi, R. Muetzel, T. Biehl, and M. Schmidt. MIRECC thank the US military veterans who participated in this research. MPIP thank R. Schirmer, E. Schreiter, R. Borschke, I. Eidner, and A. Olynyik for supporting MR acquisition and data management, the staff of the Center of Applied Genotyping for generating the genotypes of the Munich Antidepressant Response Signature (MARS) cohort, 25 D. P. Auer for initiating the RUD-MR substudy, E. Binder for supporting participation in ENIGMA, and GlaxoSmithKline for providing the genotypes of the Recurrent Unipolar Depression Case-Control Sample. PAFIP acknowledge the IDIVAL Neuroimaging Unit for imaging acquirement and analysis and Valdecilla Biobank for its help in the technical execution of this work. PDNZ are grateful to their colleagues including M. MacAskill, D. Myall, L. 30 Livingston, B. Young, and S. Grenfell, staff at the New Zealand Brain Research Institute and Pacific Radiology Christchurch for study co-ordination and image acquisition, and Ms A. Miller for DNA preparation and banking. QTIM thank the many research assistants, radiographers, and IT support staff for data acquisition and DNA sample preparation. SHIP are grateful to M. Stanke for the opportunity to use his Server Cluster for the SNP imputation as well as to H. Prokisch and 35 T. Meitinger (Helmholtz Zentrum München) for the genotyping of the SHIP-Trend cohort. Sydney MAS acknowledge the genome-wide genotyping was performed by the Ramaciotti Centre, University of New South Wales. Acknowledgements from CHARGE replication cohorts: Austrian Stroke Prevention Family /Austrian Stroke Prevention Family Study thank B. Reinhart for her long-term administrative commitment, E. Hofer for technical assistance in creating the DNA bank, 40 Ing. J. Semmler and A. Harb for DNA sequencing and analyses by TaqMan assays, and I. Poelzl for supervising the quality management processes after ISO9001 at the biobanking and DNA analysis stages. Cardiovascular Health Study thank a full list of principal investigators and institutions that can be found at CHS-NHLBI.org. Framingham Heart Study (CHARGE) especially thank investigators and staff from the Neurology group for their contributions to data 45 collection. The generation and management of GWAS genotype data for the Rotterdam Study were executed by the Human Genotyping Facility of the Genetic Laboratory of the Department of

Internal Medicine, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, The Netherlands. Rotterdam Study thank P. Arp, M. Jhamai, M. Verkerk, L. Herrera, M. Peters, and C. Medina-Gomez for their help in creating the GWAS database, and K. Estrada, Y. Aulchenko, and C. Medina-Gomez for the creation and analysis of imputed data. Three-City Dijon thank A. Boland (CNG) for technical help in preparing 5 the DNA samples for analyses. The investigators of the frontotemporal GWAS (Ferrari et al, 2014, Lancet Neurol, PMID 24943344), the consortia members, and their acknowledgments are listed in the Supplementary Materials. **Funding:** This study was supported by U54 EB020403 from the NIH Big Data to Knowledge (BD2K) Initiative, a cross-NIH partnership. Additional support was provided by R01 MH116147, R01 MH1161671, P41 EB015922, RF1 AG051710, RF1 10 AG041915, R56 AG058854, R01 AG059874, R01 MH117601, the Michael J. Fox Foundation (MJFF; 14848), the Kavli Foundation, and by National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Project Grant 1158127 (to S.E.M). S.E.M. was funded by an NHMRC Senior Research Fellowship (APP1103623). K.L.G. was supported by APP1173025. L.C.-C. was supported by a QIMR Berghofer Fellowship. J.L.S. was supported by R01MH118349 and R00MH102357. 15 1000BRAINS thank the Heinz Nixdorf Foundation (Germany) for their generous support of the Heinz Nixdorf Recall Study, which is also supported by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Science (BMBF; FKZ 01EG940) and the German Research Foundation (DFG; ER 155/6-1). This work was further supported by the BMBF through the Integrated Network IntegraMent under the e:Med Program (01ZX1314A to S.Ci), and by the Swiss National Science Foundation (156791 20 to S.Ci). The Initiative and Networking Fund of the Helmholtz Association supported S.Ca, the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (H2020) supported S.Ci (Human Brain Project SGA1, 720270) and supported S.Ca and S.Ci (Human Brain Project SGA2, 785907). Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI1 and ADNI2GO) was supported by NIH (U01 AG024904) and 25 Department of Defense ADNI (W81XWH-12-2-0012). ADNI is funded by the National Institute on Aging (NIA), the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, and through generous contributions from: AbbVie; Alzheimer's Association; Alzheimer's Drug Discovery Foundation; Araclon Biotech; BioClinica, Inc.; Biogen; Bristol-Myers Squibb Company; CereSpir, Inc.; Cogstate; Eisai Inc.; Elan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Eli Lilly and Company; 30 EuroImmun; F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd and its affiliated company Genentech, Inc.; Fujirebio; GE Healthcare; IXICO Ltd.; Janssen Alzheimer Immunotherapy Research & Development, LLC.; Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development LLC.; Lumosity; Lundbeck; Merck & Co., Inc.; Meso Scale Diagnostics, LLC.; NeuroRx Research; Neurotrack Technologies; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; Pfizer Inc.; Piramal Imaging; Servier; Takeda 35 Pharmaceutical Company; and Transition Therapeutics. The Canadian Institutes of Health Research provided funds to support ADNI clinical sites in Canada. Private sector contributions were facilitated by the Foundation for the National Institutes of Health (www.fnih.org). The grantee organization is the Northern California Institute for Research and Education, and the study was coordinated by the Alzheimer's Therapeutic Research Institute at the University of Southern 40 California. Samples used in this study were from the National Centralized Repository for Alzheimer's Disease and Related Dementias, which received government support under a cooperative agreement grant (U24 AG21886) awarded by the NIA. Support for data analysis was provided by NLM R01 LM012535 and NIA R03 AG054936 (to K.N.). The UK Medical Research Council (MRC) and Wellcome (102215/2/13/2) and the University of Bristol provide core support 45 for ALSPAC. A comprehensive list of grants funding is available on the ALSPAC website (http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/external/documents/grant-acknowledgements.pdf). ALSPAC

neuroimaging data was specifically funded by RO1 MH085772 (to T.P.). GWAS data was generated by Sample Logistics and Genotyping Facilities at Wellcome Sanger Institute and LabCorp (Laboratory Corporation of America) using support from 23andMe. Data and sample collection by the Australian Schizophrenia Research Bank (ASRB) was supported by the 5 Australian NHMRC, the Pratt Foundation, Ramsay Health Care, and the Viertel Charitable Foundation. The ASRB were also supported by the Schizophrenia Research Institute (Australia), utilizing infrastructure funding from NSW Health and the Macquarie Group Foundation. DNA analysis was supported by the Neurobehavioral Genetics Unit, utilizing funding from NSW Health and the NHMRC Project Grants (1067137, 1147644, 1051672). M.C. was supported by an 10 NHMRC Senior Research Fellowship (1121474). C.P. was supported by a NHMRC Senior Principal Research Fellowship (628386 and 1105825). BETULA was supported by a Wallenberg Scholar grant from the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation and a grant from Torsten and Ragnar Söderbergs Foundation to L.N., HelseVest RHF (911554 to S.L.H.), grants from the Bergen Research Foundation and the University of Bergen to S.L.H., grants from the Dr Einar 15 Martens Fund and the K.G. Jebsen Foundation to S.L.H. and V.M.S., the Research Council of Norway (177458/V 50 to T.E. and 204966/F 20 to L.T.W.). Nijmegen's BIG resource is part of Cognomics, a joint initiative by researchers of the Donders Centre for Cognitive Neuroimaging, the Human Genetics and Cognitive Neuroscience departments of the Radboud University Medical Center, and the Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics (funded by the Max Planck Society). 20 Support for the Cognomics Initiative, including phenotyping and genotyping of BIG cohorts, comes from funds of the participating departments and centres and from external national grants: the Biobanking and Biomolecular Resources Research Infrastructure (Netherlands) (BBMRI-NL), the Hersenstichting Nederland, and the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO), including the NWO Brain & Cognition Excellence Program (433-09-229) and the Vici Innovation 25 Program (016-130-669 to B.F.). Additional support was received from the European Union's Seventh Framework Program (FP7) [602805 (Aggressotype), 602450 (IMAGEMEND), and 278948 (TACTICS)], from H2020 [643051 (MiND) and 667302 (CoCA)], and from the Innovative Medicines Initiative 2 Joint Undertaking (H2020/EFPIA) [115916 (PRISM)]. BONN was supported by the Frankfurt Institute for Risk Management and Regulation and B.W. was 30 supported by a Heisenberg Grant of the DFG [WE 4427 (3-2)]. BrainScale was supported by NWO (NWO 51.02.061 to H.E.H.P., NWO 51.02.062 to D.I.B., NWO-NIHC Programs of excellence 433-09-220 to H.E.H.P., NWO-MagW 480-04-004 to D.I.B., and NWO/SPI 56-464-14192 to D.I.B.), FP7 Ideas: European Research Council (ERC-230374 to D.I.B.), and Universiteit Utrecht (High Potential Grant to H.E.H.P.). CARDIFF genotyping was supported by the National Centre 35 for Mental Health. T.M.L. is funded by a Sêr Cyrmu II Fellowship (East Wales European Regional Development Funds (PNU-80762-CU-14) at the Dementia Research Institute, Cardiff University. DNS received support from Duke University as well as NIH (R01DA033369 and R01DA031579). Work from the London cohort of EPIGEN was supported by research grants from the Wellcome Trust (084730 to S.M.S.), University College London (UCL)/University College London 40 Hospitals (UCLH) National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre/Specialist Biomedical Research Centres (CBRC/SBRC) (114 to S.M.S.), the Comprehensive Local Research Network Flexibility and Sustainability Funding (CEL1300 to S.M.S.), The Big Lottery Fund, the Wolfson Trust, and the Epilepsy Society. This work was partly undertaken at UCLH/UCL, which received a proportion of funding from the NIHR CBRC/SBRC. 45 FBIRN was supported by the NIH National Center for Research Resources (NCRR) [NIH 1 U24 RR021992 (Function Biomedical Informatics Research Network), NIH 1 U24 RR025736-01

(Biomedical Informatics Research Network Coordinating Center)], the NIH National Center for Research Resources and the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (UL1 TR000153), and the NIH through 5R01MH094524, and P20GM103472. This work was supported in part by a Merit Review Award I01CX000497 (J.M.F.) and a Senior Research Career Award 5 (J.M.F.) from the United States Department of Veterans Affairs, Clinical Sciences Research and Development Service. FOR2107 was funded by the DFG (FOR2107 DA1151/5-1 and DA1151/5- 2 to U.D.; JA1890/7-1, JA1890/7-2 to A.J.; KI 588/14-1, KI 588/14-2 to T.K.; KR 3822/7-1, KR 3822/7-2 to A.K.; NO246/10-1, NO246/10-2 to M.M.N.). GOBS was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health MH0708143 (to D.C.G.), MH078111 (to J.Bl.), and MH083824 (to 10 D.C.G. and J.Bl.). Brain Genomics Superstruct Project (GSP) was made possible by the resources provided through Shared Instrumentation Grants 1S10RR023043 and 1S10RR023401 and was supported by funding from the Simons Foundation (to R.L.B.), the Howard Hughes Medical Institute (to R.L.B.), NIMH grants R01-MH079799 (to J.W.S.), K24MH094614 (to J.W.S.), K01MH099232 (to A.J.H.), and the Massachusetts General Hospital-University of Southern 15 California Human Connectome Project (U54MH091665). HUBIN was supported by the Swedish Research Council (2006-2992, 2006-986, K2007-62X-15077-04-1, K2008-62P-20597-01-3, 2008-2167, 2008-7573, K2010-62X-15078-07-2, K2012-61X-15078-09-3, 14266-01A,02-03, 2017-949), the regional agreement on medical training and clinical research between Stockholm County Council and the Karolinska Institutet, the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation, and the 20 HUBIN project. HUNT-MRI was funded by the Liaison Committee between the Central Norway Regional Health Authority and the Norwegian University of Science and Technology, and the Norwegian National Advisory Unit for functional MRI. IMAGEN was supported by the European Union's FP6 Integrated Project IMAGEN (LSHM-CT- 2007-037286), the H2020 ERC Advanced Grant STRATIFY (695313), ERANID (PR-ST-0416-10004), BRIDGET (JPND: 25 MR/N027558/1), the FP7 projects IMAGEMEND (602450) and MATRICS (603016), the Innovative Medicine Initiative Project EU-AIMS (115300-2), the Medical Research Foundation and MRC (MR/R00465X/1), the MRC (MR/N000390/1), the Swedish Research Council FORMAS, the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London, the BMBF (01GS08152, 01EV0711, eMED 30 SysAlc01ZX1311A, Forschungsnetz AERIAL), and the DFG (SM 80/7-1, SM 80/7-2, SFB 940/1). Further support was provided from: ANR (AF12-NEUR0008-01 – WM2NA, and ANR-12-SAMA-0004), the Fondation de France, the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale, the Mission Interministérielle de Lutte-contre-les-Drogues-et-les-Conduites-Addictives (MILDECA), the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (DPA20140629802), the Fondation de l'Avenir, Paris 35 Sud University IDEX 2012,the National Institutes of Health, Science Foundation Ireland (16/ERCD/3797), the NIH (RO1 MH085772-01A1). IMH was supported by the National Healthcare Group, Singapore (SIG/05004; SIG/05028) and the Singapore Bioimaging Consortium (RP C-009/2006) research grants awarded to K.S.; M.La. was supported by an National Medical Research Council Research Training Fellowship (MH095: 003/008-1014) and Singapore Ministry 40 of Health National Medical Research Council Center Grant (NMRC/CG/004/2013). IMpACT was supported by the NWO (433-09-229) and the Vici Innovation Program (016-130-669 to B.F.). Additional support was received from the ERC under FP7 [602805 (Aggressotype), 602450 (IMAGEMEND), and 278948 (TACTICS)] as well as from H2020 [643051 (MiND), 667302 (CoCA), and 728018 (Eat2beNICE)]. LBC1936 was supported by a Research into Ageing 45 programme grant (to I.J.D.) and the Age UK-funded Disconnected Mind project (http://www.disconnectedmind.ed.ac.uk; to I.J.D. and J.M.W.), with additional funding from the

UK MRC (Mr/M01311/1, G1001245/96077, G0701120/79365 to I.J.D., J.M.W. and M.E.B.). The whole genome association part of this study was funded by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC; BB/F019394/1). J.M.W. is supported by the Scottish Funding Council through the SINAPSE Collaboration (http://www.sinapse.ac.uk). CCACE (MRC 5 MR/K026992/1) is funded by the BBSRC and MRC. LIBD was supported by direct funding from the NIMH intramural research program of the NIH to the Weinberger Lab and by support from the Lieber Institute for Brain Development and the Maltz Research Laboratories. MCIC was supported primarily by the Department of Energy DE-FG02-99ER62764 through its support of the Mind Research Network (MRN, formerly known as the MIND Institute) and the consortium as well as 10 by the National Association for Research in Schizophrenia and Affective Disorders (NARSAD) Young Investigator Award (to S.Eh.), through the Blowitz-Ridgeway and Essel Foundations and a ZonMw TOP 91211021 (to T.Wh.), a DFG research fellowship (to S.Eh.), the MRN, the NIH through NCRR 5MO1-RR001066 (MGH General Clinical Research Center), NIMH K08 MH068540, the Biomedical Informatics Research Network with NCRR Supplements to P41 15 RR14075 (MGH), M01 RR 01066 (MGH), NIBIB R01EB006841 (MRN), R01EB005846 (MRN), 2R01 EB000840 (MRN), 1RC1MH089257 (MRN), as well as grant U24 RR021992. Meth-CT was supported by the Medical Research Council, South Africa. MIRECC was supported by NIMH (1R01MH111671) and the US Department of Veterans Affairs (VISN6 MIRECC). MooDS was supported by the BMBF grants [National Genome Research Network Plus (MooDS: Systematic 20 Investigation of the Molecular Causes of Major Mood Disorders and Schizophrenia, http://www.ngfn.de/en/schizophrenie.html); e:Med Programme: Integrated Network IntegraMent (01ZX1314A to M.M.N.; 01ZX1614A to F.D. and M.M.N.)], and was supported by DFG (FOR 1617) as well as Excellence Cluster (EXC 257). MPIP was supported by a grant of the Exzellenz-Stiftung of the Max Planck Society and by the BMBF National Genome Research Network (FKZ 25 01GS0481). MPRC was supported by the NIH (R01MH116948, R01MH112180, U01MH108148, UG3DA047685, 2R01EB015611, R01DA027680, R01MH085646, P50MH103222, U54 EB020403, and T32MH067533), NSF (IIS-1302755 and MRI-1531491), a State of Maryland contract (M00B6400091), and a Pfizer research grant. MÜNSTER was funded by the DFG (SFB-TRR58, Projects C09 and Z02 to U.D.) and the Interdisciplinary Center for Clinical Research of 30 the medical faculty of Münster (Dan3/012/17 to U.D.). NCNG was supported by the Bergen Research Foundation, the University of Bergen, the Research Council of Norway [FUGE (151904 and 183327), Psykisk Helse (175345), RCN (154313/V50 to I.R. and 177458/V50 to T.E.)], Helse Sørøst RHF (2012086 to T.E.), and Dr Einar Martens Fund. NESDA obtained funding from the NWO (Geestkracht program10-000-1002); the Center for Medical Systems Biology (CSMB, 35 NWO Genomics), BBMRI-NL, VU University's Institutes for Health and Care Research (EMGO+) and Neuroscience Campus Amsterdam, University Medical Center Groningen, Leiden University Medical Center, NIH (R01D0042157-01A, MH081802, Grand Opportunity grants 1RC2 MH089951 and 1RC2 MH089995). Part of the genotyping and analyses were funded by the Genetic Association Information Network (GAIN) of the Foundation for the National Institutes of 40 Health. Computing was supported by BiG Grid, the Dutch e-Science Grid, which is financially supported by NWO. The NeuroIMAGE study was supported by NIH Grant R01MH62873, NWO Large Investment Grant 1750102007010 (to J.K.B.), ZonMW grant 60-60600-97-193, NWO grants 056-13-015 and 433-09-242, and matching grants from Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Center, University Medical Center Groningen and Accare, and Vrije Universiteit 45 Amsterdam. Further support was received from the FP7 [278948 (TACTICS), 602450 (IMAGEMEND), 602805 (Aggressotype)], and H2020 [667302 (CoCA) and 728018

(Eat2beNICE)]. Netherlands Twin Register (NTR) obtained funding from NWO and ZonMW grants (904-61-090, 985-10-002, 912-10-020, 904-61-193,480-04-004, 463-06-001, 451-04-034, 400-05-717, Addiction-31160008, 016-115-035, 481-08-011, 056-32-010, Middelgroot-911-09- 032, OCW_NWO Gravity program –024.001.003, NWO-Groot 480-15-001/674), Center for 5 Medical Systems Biology (CSMB, NWO Genomics), NBIC/BioAssist/RK (2008.024), BBMRI– NL (184.021.007 and 184.033.111); Spinozapremie (NWO- 56-464-14192), KNAW Academy Professor Award (PAH/6635) and University Research Fellow grant to DIB; Amsterdam Public Health research institute (former EMGO+) , Neuroscience Amsterdam research institute (former NCA); the European Science Foundation (EU/QLRT-2001-01254), FP7 (FP7- HEALTH-F4- 10 2007-2013: 01413 (ENGAGE) and 602768 (ACTION)]; the ERC (ERC Advanced, 230374, ERC Starting grant 284167), Rutgers University Cell and DNA Repository (NIMH U24 MH068457- 06), the NIH (R01D0042157-01A1, R01MH58799-03, MH081802, DA018673, R01 DK092127- 04, Grand Opportunity grants 1RC2 MH089951, and 1RC2 MH089995); the Avera Institute for Human Genetics, Sioux Falls, South Dakota (USA). Part of the genotyping and analyses were 15 funded by the Genetic Association Information Network (GAIN) of the Foundation for the National Institutes of Health. Computing was supported by NWO through 2018/EW/00408559, BiG Grid, the Dutch e-Science Grid and SURFSARA. OATS was supported by the Australian NHMRC/Australian Research Council Strategic Award (401162) and NHMRC Project Grant (1405325). The study was facilitated through Twins Research Australia, a national resource in part 20 supported by a Centre for Research Excellence from the NHMRC. DNA was extracted by Genetic Repositories Australia (NHMRC Grant 401184). Genome-wide genotyping at the Diamantina Institute, University of Queensland, was partly funded by a CSIRO Flagship Collaboration Fund Grant. OSAKA was supported by AMED under JP18dm0307002, JP18dm0207006 (Brain/MINDS) and JSPS KAKENHI J16H05375. PAFIP was supported by the Instituto de Salud 25 Carlos III (PI14/00639 and PI14/00918), MINECO (SAF2010-20840-C02-02 and SAF2013- 46292-R) and Fundación Instituto de Investigación Marqués de Valdecilla (NCT0235832 and NCT02534363). PDNZ was supported by the Health Research Council, the Neurological Foundation of New Zealand, Canterbury Medical Research Foundation, University of Otago Research Grant, and Jim and Mary Carney Charitable Trust (Whangarei, New Zealand). PING 30 was supported by the National Institute on Drug Abuse (RC2DA029475) and the U.S. National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (R01HD061414). Parkinson's Progression Markers Initiative (PPMI), a public-private partnership, is funded by the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research and funding partners, including AbbVie, Allegran, Avid Radiopharmaceuticals, Biogen Idec, BioLegend, Bristol-Meyers Squibb, Denali Therapeutics, GE 35 Healthcare, Genentech, GSK-GlaxoSmithKline, Eli Lilly & Co., F. Hoffman-La Roche Ltd., Lundbeck Pharmaceuticals, Merck and Company, MSD-Meso Scale Discovery, Pfizer, Piramal, Sanofi Genzyme, Servier, Takeda Pharmaceutical Company, TEVA Pharmaceutical Industries, UCB Pharma SA, and Golub Capital (http://www.ppmi-info.org/about-ppmi/who-we-are/studysponsors/). QTIM was supported by the National Institute of Child Health and Human 40 Development (R01 HD050735), National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering (Award 1U54EB020403-01, Subaward 56929223), and NHMRC (Project Grants 496682, 1009064 and Medical Bioinformatics Genomics Proteomics Program 389891). SHIP is part of the Community Medicine Research net of the University of Greifswald, Germany, which is funded by the DFG (01ZZ9603, 01ZZ0103, and 01ZZ0403), the Ministry of Cultural Affairs, the Social 45 Ministry of the Federal State of Mecklenburg-West Pomerania, and the network 'Greifswald Approach to Individualized Medicine (GANI_MED)' funded by the DFG (03IS2061A). Whole-

body MR imaging was supported by a joint grant from Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany and the Federal State of Mecklenburg West Pomerania. Genome-wide data have been supported by the DFO (03ZIK012) and a joint grant from Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany and the Federal State of Mecklenburg- West Pomerania. The University of Greifswald is a member of the 5 Caché Campus program of the InterSystems GmbH. Sydney MAS was supported by the NHMRC/Australian Research Council Strategic Award (401162) and NHMRC Program Grants (350833, 568969). DNA was extracted by Genetic Repositories Australia (NHMRC Grant 401184). SYS has been funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research and the Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada. Computations were performed on the GPC supercomputer at the 10 SciNet HPC Consortium. SciNet is funded by the Canada Foundation for Innovation under the auspices of Compute Canada; the Government of Ontario; Ontario Research Fund - Research Excellence, and the University of Toronto. TCD-NUIG included data from two sites. NUI Galway data collection was supported by the Health Research Board (HRA_POR/2011/100). Trinity College Dublin was supported by The Science Foundation Ireland Research Investigator project 15 (12.IP.1359 to G.D.). TOP and TOP3T are part of TOP, which is supported by the Research Council of Norway (223273, 213837, 249711, 226971, 262656), the South East Norway Health Authority (2017-112), the Kristian Gerhard Jebsen Stiftelsen (SKGJ-MED-008) and the FP7 [602450 (IMAGEMEND)]. UiO2016 and UiO2017 are part of TOP and STROKEMRI, which is supported by the Norwegian ExtraFoundation for Health and Rehabilitation (2015/FO5146), the 20 Research Council of Norway (249795, 248238), and the South-Eastern Norway Regional Health Authority (2014097, 2015044, 2015073). The UMCU cohort consists of several independent studies, which were supported by ZonMw TOP 40-008-12-98-13009, Geestkracht programme of the ZonMw (10-000-1001), the Stanley Medical Research Institute (Dr. Nolen), the Brain and Behavior Research Foundation (2013-2015 NARSAD Independent Investigator grant 20244 to 25 M.H.J.H.), NWO (2012-2017 VIDI grants 452-11-014 to N.E.M.v.H. and 917-46-370 to H.E.H.P.), and ZonMw (908-02-123 to H.E.H.P.). UNICAMP was supported by FAPESP (São Paulo Research Foundation) 2013/07559-3: The Brazilian Institute of Neuroscience and Neurotechnology (BRAINN). The collection of the chimpanzee brain images were supported by the NIH (NS-42867, NS-73134, and NS-92988). The views expressed in this manuscript are those 30 of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; the National Institutes of Health; or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Infrastructure for the CHARGE Consortium is supported in part by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI; HL105756) and for the neuroCHARGE phenotype working group through the NIA (AG033193). H.H.H.A. was supported by the Netherlands Organization for the 35 Health Research and Development (ZonMw; 916.19.151). Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study (ARIC) was a collaborative study supported by the NHLBI (HHSN268201100005C, HSN268201100006C, HSN268201100007C, HHSN268201100008C, HHSN268201100009C, HHSN268201100010C, HHSN268201100011C, HHSN268201100012C, R01HL70825, R01HL087641, R01HL59367, and R01HL086694); the National Human Genome Research 40 Institute (U01HG004402); and the NIH (HHSN268200625226C). Infrastructure was partly supported by UL1RR025005, a component of the NIH and NIH Roadmap for Medical Research. This project was partially supported by NIH R01 grants HL084099 and NS087541 (to M.Fo.). Austrian Stroke Prevention Family/Austrian Stroke Prevention Family Study databank was supported by the Medical University of Graz and the Steiermärkische 45 Krankenanstaltengesellschaft. The research reported in this article was funded by the Austrian Science Fund (PI904, P20545-P05 and P13180), the Austrian National Bank Anniversary Fund

(P15435), and the Austrian Ministry of Science under the aegis of the EU Joint Programme-Neurodegenerative Disease Research (JPND; www.jpnd.eu). Cardiovascular Health Study was supported by NHLBI (HHSN268201200036C, HHSN268200800007C, N01HC55222, N01HC85079, N01HC85080, N01HC85081, N01HC85082, N01HC85083, N01HC85086, 5 U01HL080295, R01HL087652, R01HL105756, R01HL103612, R01HL120393, and R01HL130114), with additional contribution from the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke. Additional support was provided through R01AG023629, R01AG15928, and R01AG033193 from the NIA. The provision of genotyping data was supported in part by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, CTSI grant UL1TR000124, and the 10 National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Disease Diabetes Research Center grant DK063491 to the Southern California Diabetes Endocrinology Research Center. The Erasmus Rucphen Family Study was supported by the Consortium for Systems Biology, within the framework of the Netherlands Genomics Initiative (NGI)/NWO. ERF as a part of EUROSPAN (European Special Populations Research Network) was supported by the European Commission's 15 5th Framework Programme (FP5) (QLG2-CT-2002-01254), the FP6 (018947; LSHG-CT-2006- 01947), and the FP7 (HEALTH-F4-2007-201413 and 602633). High-throughput analysis of the ERF data was supported by a joint grant from the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research and the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (NWO-RFBR 047.017.043). High throughput metabolomics measurements of the ERF study was supported by BBMRI-NL. The Framingham 20 Heart Study was supported by the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute's Framingham Heart Study (N01-HC-25195 and HHSN268201500001I) and its contract with Affymetrix, Inc. for genotyping services (N02-HL-6-4278). A portion of this research utilized the Linux Cluster for Genetic Analysis (LinGA-II) funded by the Robert Dawson Evans Endowment of the Department of Medicine at Boston University School of Medicine and Boston Medical Center. This study was 25 also supported by grants from the NIA (R01s AG033040, AG033193, AG054076, AG049607, AG008122, AG016495, and U01-AG049505) and the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (R01-NS017950). C.Dec. is supported by the Alzheimer's Disease Center (P30 AG 010129). LIFE-Adult: LIFE-Adult is funded by the Leipzig Research Center for Civilization Diseases (LIFE). LIFE is an organizational unit affiliated to the Medical Faculty of the University 30 of Leipzig. LIFE is funded by means of the European Union, by the European Regional Development Fund and by funds of the Free State of Saxony within the framework of the excellence initiative. This work was also funded by the DFG (CRC 1052 "Obesity mechanisms" project A1) and by the Max Planck Society. The Rotterdam Study was funded by Erasmus Medical Center and Erasmus University, Rotterdam, ZonMw, the Research Institute for Diseases in the 35 Elderly (RIDE), the Ministry of Education, Culture and Science, the Ministry for Health, Welfare and Sports, the European Commission (DG XII), and the Municipality of Rotterdam. The GWAS datasets are supported by NWO Investments (175.010.2005.011, 911-03-012), the Genetic Laboratory of the Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus MC, the Research Institute for Diseases in the Elderly (014-93-015; RIDE2), and the NGI/NWO Netherlands Consortium for 40 Healthy Aging (050-060-810). This work was performed as part of the CoSTREAM project (www.costream.eu) and received funding from H2020 (667375). Three-City Dijon was conducted under a partnership agreement among the Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), the University of Bordeaux, and Sanofi-Aventis. The Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale funded the preparation and initiation of the study. The 3C Study is also supported by the 45 Caisse Nationale Maladie des Travailleurs Salariés, Direction Générale de la Santé, Mutuelle Générale de l'Education Nationale, Institut de la Longévité, Conseils Régionaux of Aquitaine and

Bourgogne, Fondation de France, and Ministry of Research–INSERM Programme "Cohortes et collections de données biologiques". S.Deb. received investigator-initiated research funding from the French National Research Agency (ANR) and from the Fondation Leducq. S.Deb. is supported by a starting grant from the European Research Council (SEGWAY), a grant from the Joint 5 Programme of Neurodegenerative Disease research (BRIDGET), from H2020 (643417 and 640643), and by the Initiative of Excellence of Bordeaux University. This work was supported by the National Foundation for Alzheimer's disease and related disorders, the Institut Pasteur de Lille, the labex DISTALZ and the Centre National de Génotypage. Vietnam Era Twin Study of Aging (VETSA) was supported by the US NIH VA San Diego Center of Excellence for Stress and Mental 10 Health R00DA023549, DA-18673, NIA R01 AG018384, R01 AG018386, R01 AG022381, R01 AG022982, R01 DA025109 05, R01 HD050735, K08 AG047903, R03 AG 046413, and R01 HD050735-01A2. **Author Contributions:** †K.L.G. and N.J. contributed to this work as co-first authors. ‡J.N.P., L.C.-C., J.B., D.P.H., P.A.L., and F.P. contributed to this work as co-second authors. §J.L.S., P.M.T., and S.E.M. contributed to this work as co-last authors. #Consortium 15 authors are listed in Supplementary Materials. *N.J., J.L.S., P.M.T., and S.E.M. are corresponding authors: S.E.M. Sarah.Medland@qimrberghofer.edu.au, P.M.T. pthomp@usc.edu, J.L.S. jason_stein@med.unc.edu, N.J. njahansh@usc.edu. Central Analysis and Coordination Group: C.R.K.C., D.P.H., F.P., J.Br., J.L.S., J.N.P., K.L.G., L.C.-C., L.C.P.Z., L.T.S., M.A.B.M., N.J., N.S., P.A.L., P.M.T., S.E.M, S.I.T. Manuscript Writing, Preparation and Revision: A.H.Z., D.P.H., 20 J.Br., J.L.S., J.N.P., K.L.G., L.C.-C., N.J., P.A.L., P.M.T., S.E.M. Project Support: D.Ga., M.A.B.M., M.J., N.S., R.E., V.R., Y.G. Cohort Principal Investigator: A.A.V., A.C., A.H., A.J.F., A.J.H., A.K.H., A.M.D., A.M.-L., A.R.H., A.W.T., B.C.-F., B.F., B.Mo., B.S.P., B.W., B.W.J.H.P., C.A.H., C.Dep., C.F., C.M., C.M.L., C.P., D.Am., D.C.G., D.I.B., D.J.S., D.P., D.R.W., D.v.E., E.G.J., E.J.C.d.G., L.E.H., F.A.H., F.C., G.D., G.F., G.G.B., G.L.C., G.S., H.B., 25 H.E.H.P., H.F., H.G.B., H.J.G., H.V., H.W., I.A., I.E.Som., I.J.D., I.M., J.B.J.K., J.Bl., J.C.Be., J.C.D.-A., J.K.B., J.-L.M., J.L.R., J.N.T., J.O., J.R.B., J.W.S., J.Z., K.L.M., K.S., L.M.R., L.N., L.R., L.T.W., M.E.B., M.H.J.H., M.J.C., M.J.W., M.K.M.A., M.R., N.D., N.J., N.J.A.v.d.W., O.A.A., O.G., P.G.S., P.J.H., P.K., P.M.T., P.S.S., P.T.M., R.A.M., R.A.O., R.H., R.J.S., R.L.B., R.L.G., R.S.K., S.Ca., S.Des., S.E.F., S.L.H., S.M.S., S.R., T.E., T.J.A., T.J.C.P., T.L.J., T.P., 30 T.T.J.K., U.D., V.C., V.J.C., W.C., W.U.H., X.C., Z.P. Imaging Data Collection: A.B., A.d.B., A.F.M., A.J., A.J.H., A.K., A.K.H., A.L.G., A.M.D., A.N.H., A.P., A.R.H., A.R.K., A.U., B.A.M., B.-C.H., B.D., B.F., G.B.P., B.W., B.W.J.H.P., C.B., C.D.W., C.J., C.L.B., C.L.Y., C.M., C.P., C.R.J., C.S.Re., D.Am., D.C.G., D.Gr., D.H.M., D.J., D.J.H., D.J.V., D.M.C., D.P.O., D.R.W., D.S.O., D.T.-G., D.v.E., D.v.R., D.Z., E.A., E.B.Q., E.J.C.d.G., L.E.H., E.Sh., G.B.P., G.D., G.F., 35 G.I.d.Z., G.L.C., G.R., G.S., H.V., H.Y., I.A., I.E.Som., J.A.T., J.E.C., J.E.N., J.K.B., J.-L.M., J.- L.M., J.L.R., J.M.F., J.M.W., J.N.T., J.R., J.T.V., K.D., K.K., K.L.M., K.O.L., K.S., L.M.R., L.R., L.T.W., M.B.H., M.E.B., M.Fu., M.H.J.H., M.Ho., M.-J.v.T., M.J.W., M.-L.P.M., N.E.M.v.H., N.F.H., N.H., N.J.A.v.d.W., N.K.H., N.O., O.G., P.A.G., P.E.R., P.G.S., P.K., P.N., P.S.S., R.A.O., R.B., R.H., R.L.B., R.L.G., R.R., R.S.K., R.W., S.A., S.C.M., S.Ca., S.Er., S.Ko., S.M., 40 S.M.S., T.G.M.v.E., T.R.M., T.Wh., T.W.M., U.D., U.S., V.C., V.J.C., V.S.M., W.D.H., W.H., W.W., X.C. Imaging Data Analysis: A.F.M., A.H.Z., A.J.H., A.J.S., A.L.G., A.M.D., A.R., A.R.K., A.S., A.Th., A.U., B.A.G., B.C.R., B.F., B.K., B.S.P., C.B., C.C.F., C.C.H., C.D.W., C.J., C.L.Y., C.R.K.C., C.S.Ro., D.Al., D.C.G., D.Gr., D.H., D.J., D.J.H., D.M.C., D.P.H., D.P.O., D.T.-G., D.v.d.M., D.v.E., D.v.R., D.Z., E.E.L.B., E.Sh., E.Sp., E.W., F.M.R., F.P., F.S., G.I.d.Z., 45 G.R., H.J.G., I.A., I.E.Som., I.K.A., J.A.T., J.B.J.K., J.C.V.M., J.-L.M., J.L.R., J.L.S., J.M.W., J.R., J.Z., K.D., K.L.M., K.N., K.S., K.W., L.B.L., L.H., L.Sa., L.Sc., L.Sh., L.T.S., L.T.W.,

L.v.E., L.C.P.Z., M.A., M.A.H., M.B.H., M.C., M.E.B., M.Fu., M.Ho., M.J.G., M.-J.v.T., M.J.W., M.Ki., M.La., M.P.Z., M.W., N.E.M.v.H., N.F.H., N.J., N.O., N.T.D., O.G., P.G.S., P.K., P.M.T., P.N., R.B., R.K., R.L.G., R.M.B., R.R., S.A., S.Ca., S.Des., S.Eh., S.Er., S.F.F., S.I.T., S.Ka., S.Ke., S.L.R., S.M.C.d.Z., S.R.M., T.A., T.A.L., T.G., T.G.M.v.E., T.J., T.K., T.L.P., T.P.G., 5 T.R.M., T.Wh., T.Wo., T.W.M., U.D., W.W., X.C., Y.Q., Z.Z. Genetic Data Collection: A.A.A., A.A.-K., A.d.B., A.J.F., A.J.H., A.J.S., A.K.H., A.M.D., A.P., A.R.H., A.R.K., B.-C.H., B.F., B.Mo., B.T.B., B.W., B.W.J.H.P., C.B., C.D.W., C.F., C.M., C.P., C.P.D., C.S.Re., D.C.G., D.H.M., D.R.W., D.W.M., D.Z., E.A., E.B.Q., E.G.J., E.J.C.d.G., L.E.H., F.D., F.M., F.R.T., G.D., G.E.D., G.F., G.H., G.L.C., G.S., H.V., H.Y., I.E.Som., I.L.-C., J.A.T., J.B.J.K., J.Bl., J.E.C., 10 J.E.N., J.-J.H., J.J.L., J.K.B., J.-L.M., J.-L.M., J.L.R., J.M.F., J.Q.W., J.R., J.W.S., K.A.M., K.D., K.O.L., K.S., L.M.R., L.R., L.Sh., M.A.K., M.F.D., M.H.J.H., M.Ha., M.Ho., M.J.C., M.J.W., M.La., M.-L.P.M., M.M.N., M.N., N.A.K., N.E.M.v.H., N.G.M., N.J.A.v.d.W., N.K.H., N.O., O.G., P.A.T., P.H., P.K., P.R.S., P.S.S., R.A.O., R.C.G., R.H., R.L.B., R.R., R.Se., R.S.K., R.W., S.A., S.Ci., S.Dj., S.E.F., S.Eh., S.Er., S.H., S.L.H., S.M.S., T.G.M.v.E., T.J.A., T.K.d.A., T.L.P., 15 T.W.M., U.D., V.C., V.J.C., V.M.S., X.C. Genetic Data Analysis: A.A.-K., A.J.F., A.J.H., A.J.S., A.M.D., A.R.K., A.Te., A.Th., B.C.-D., B.F., B.K., B.M.-M., B.P., B.S.P., B.T.B., C.C.F., C.D.W., C.L.V., C.S.Re., C.S.Ro., C.W., C.Y.S., D.C.G., D.K., D.P.H., D.v.d.M., D.v.E., E.G.J., L.E.H., E.V., E.W., F.M., H.-R.E., I.E.J., I.E.Som., I.E.Søn., I.L.-C., I.O.F., J.Bl., J.Br., J.F.P., J.H.V., J.-J.H., J.L.R., J.L.S., J.N.P., J.Q.W., J.R.A., J.S., J.W.C., J.W.S., K.E.T., K.L.G., K.N., 20 L.C.-C., L.M.O.L., L.Sh., L.C.P.Z., M.A.A.A., M.B., M.E.G., M.Fu., M.Ha., M.I., M.J., M.J.C., M.J.W., M.Ki., M.Kl., M.Kn., M.La., M.Lu., M.M.J.v.D., N.A.G., N.G.M., N.J., N.J.A., N.K.H., N.M.-S., N.R.M., O.G., P.A.L., P.G.S., P.H., P.H.L., P.K., P.M.T., P.R.S., Q.C., R.A.O., R.M.B., R.R., R.Se., S.Da., S.Des., S.E.M., S.Eh., S.G., S.H., S.H.W., S.L.H., S.M.C.d.Z., S.N., S.R.M., T.A.L., T.G., T.G.M.v.E., T.J., T.K.d.A., T.M.L., W.R.R., Y.M., Y.W. CHARGE Study Design: 25 B.Ma., C.Dec., C.L.S., E.H., G.V.R., H.H.H.A., H.J.G., J.C.Bi., L.L., M.A.I., M.Fo., O.L.L., Q.Y., R.Sc., S.Deb., S.S., T.H.M., T.P., V.G., W.T.L. **Competing Interests:** A.M.D. is a Founder of CorTechs Labs, Inc. and has received funding through a Research Agreement between General Electric Healthcare and the University of California, San Diego. The terms of these arrangements have been reviewed by and approved by the University of California, San Diego in accordance 30 with its conflict of interest policies. B.F. has received educational speaking fees from Shire and Medice. B.W.J.H.P. has received (non-related) research funding from Boehringer Ingelheim and Janssen Research. C.D.W. is currently an employee of Biogen. C.R.J. consults for Lilly and serves on an independent data monitoring board for Roche but receives no personal compensation from any commercial entity. C.R.J. receives research support from NIH and the Alexander Family 35 Alzheimer's Disease Research Professorship of the Mayo Clinic. D.H.M. is a consultant for Boehringer Ingelheim, GW/Greenwhich Biosciences, and Aptinyx. D.J.S. has received research grants and/or consultancy honoraria from Lundbeck and Sun. D.P.H. is currently an employee of Genentech, Inc. and was previously employed by Janssen R&D, LLC. H.B. is on the advisory board for Nutricia Australia. R.S.K. has consulted for Alkermes, Otsuka, Luye Pharma, and 40 Sunovion, and has received speaker fees from Janssen-Cilag and Lundbeck. None of the other authors declare any competing financial interests. H.J.G. has received travel grants and speaker honoraria from Fresenius Medical Care, Neuraxpharm and Janssen Cilag. H.J.G. has received research funding from the German Research Foundation, the German Ministry of Education and Research, the DAMP Foundation, Fresenius Medical Care, the EU Joint Programme 45 Neurodegenerative Disorders and the European Social Fund. L.E.H. has received or is planning to receive research funding or consulting fees from Mitsubishi, Your Energy Systems LLC,

Neuralstem, Taisho, Heptares, Pfizer, Sound Pharma, Luye Pharma, Takeda, and Regeneron. N.H. is a stockholder of Siemens AG, Erlangen, Germany. R.B. has received travel grants and speaker honoraria from Bayer Healthcare AG. R.L.B. is a paid consultant for Roche. **Data and Materials Availability:** The meta-analytic results presented in this paper are available to download from the 5 ENIGMA consortium webpage http://enigma.ini.usc.edu/research/download-enigma-gwasresults. Access to cohort data is available either through public repositories or directly from the cohort. Direct requests are required when informed consent or the approved study protocol does not permit deposition into a repository. Requests for data by qualified investigators are subject to scientific and ethical review, to ensure the data will be used for valid scientific research and to 10 ensure compliance with confidentiality, consent, and data protection regulations. Some of the data are subject to MTA or DTA and specific details on how to access data for each cohort are available in table S20.

Supplementary Materials:

Materials and Methods

15 Supplementary Text

Consortium Authors

Additional Cohort Information

Supplementary Acknowledgements

Figs. S1 to S11

20 Tables S1 to S19

References (70–108)

Fig. 1. Regions of the human cortex and associated genetic loci. (**A**) The 34 cortical regions defined by the Desikan-Killiany atlas. (**B**) Ideogram of loci influencing cortical SA and TH.

Fig. 2. Genetics of Global Measures. (**A**) Genetic correlations between global measures and selected traits (red indicates significant correlation, FDR < 0.05). (**B**) Partioned heritability enrichment in active regulatory elements across tissues and cell types. (**C**) Partioned heritability 5 enrichment in temporally specific active regulatory elements. (**D**) Partioned heritability enrichment in regulatory elements of cell-type specific genes in fetal brain. (**E**) Manhattan plot of loci associated with total SA (*top*) and TH (*bottom*), green diamonds indicate lead SNP in the principal meta-analysis, black diamonds indicate change in *P*-value after replication, dashed horizontal line is genome-wide significance, solid horizontal line is multiple-testing correction threshold.

Fig 3. Genetic and Phenotypic Correlations Between Cortical Regions. (**A**) Surface Area. (**B**) Thickness. The regions are numbered according to the legend of Fig. 1A. The proportion of variance accounted for by common genetic variants is shown in the first column (h^2_{SNP}) . 5 Phenotypic correlations from the UK Biobank are in the upper triangle. Genetic correlations from the principal meta-analysis are in the lower triangle. Only significant correlations are shown.

Fig 4. Genetics of Regional Measures. (**A**) Regional plot for rs1080066, including additional lead SNPs within the LD block and surrounding genes, chromatin interactions in neural progenitor cells, chromatin state in RoadMap brain tissues*, and BRAINSPAN candidate gene expression in 5 brain tissue**. (**B**) Ideogram of 15q14, detailing the significant independent loci and cortical regions. (**C**) rs1080066 (G allele) association with SA of regions. (**D**) rs1080066 association with central sulcus depth and depth of several primate species *TssA:Active Transcription Start Site (TSS); TssAFlnk:Flanking Active TSS; TxFlnk:Transcription at gene 5' and 3'; Tx:Strong transcription; TxWk:Weak transcription; EnhG:Genic enhancers; Enh:Enhancers; 10 Het:Heterochromatin; TssBiv:Bivalent/Poised TSS; BivFlnk:Flanking Bivalent TSS/Enhancer; EnhBiv:Bivalent Enhancer; ReprPC:Repressed; PolyComb; ReprPCWk:Weak Repressed PolyComb; Quies:Quiescent/Low. **DFC:dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; VFC:ventrolateral prefrontal cortex; MFC:anterior cingulate cortex; OFC:orbital frontal cortex; M1C:primary motor cortex; M1C-S1C:primary motor-sensory cortex; PCx:parietal neocortex; S1C:primary 15 somatosensory cortex; IPC:posteroventral parietal cortex; A1C:primary auditory cortex; TCx:temporal neocortex; STC:posterior superior temporal cortex; ITC:inferolateral temporal cortex; Ocx:occipital neocortex; V1C:primary visual cortex.

Fig 5. Genetic correlations with neuropsychiatric and psychological traits. (**A**) Genetic correlations with total SA and average TH positive correlations are shown in red, while negative correlations are shown in blue. (**B**) Regional variation in the strength of genetic correlations 5 between regional surface area (without correction for total surface area) and traits showing significant genetic correlations with total surface area.