**Enhancing NeuroImaging Genetics through Meta-Analysis (ENIGMA)**

**Memorandum of Understanding**

**Working Group Specifics**

This Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) pertains to the *ENIGMA Brain Injury Working Group*, hereinafter referred to as Working Group or WG. The Working Group includes the chairs (listed below) and members of sites contributing data or results to advance the efforts of the Working Group.

This Working Group is chaired by *Dr. Emily Dennis, Dr. Elisabeth Wilde and Dr. David Tate*, hereinafter referred to as Working Group Chairs or chairs.

The e-mail addresses of the Working Group Chairs are [*edennis@bwh.harvard.edu*](mailto:edennis@ini.usc.edu)*,* [*Elisabeth.wilde@hsc.utah.edu*](mailto:Elisabeth.wilde@hsc.utah.edu)*,* and [*David.Tate@mimh.edu*](mailto:David.Tate@mimh.edu)*.*

The Overall ENIGMA PI is Dr. Paul M. Thompson.

The members of Working Group’s support group and the participating sites and their PIs are listed on the ENIGMA Working Group website [*http://enigma.ini.usc.edu/ongoing/enigma-tbi/*](http://enigma.ini.usc.edu/ongoing/enigma-tbi/)hereinafter referred to as *ENIGMA Working Group website*.

When applicable, individual subject-level Working Group data will be stored at the *University of Southern California*, *Australian Catholic University* and/or *University of Utah*. Other sites may be added for secondary proposals in the future, with the agreement of all contributing sites and with the same confidentiality and security discussed in this MOU. Together, these sites are hereinafter referred to as *Main Working Group Data Storage Sites*.

**Introduction**

This document outlines the policy for sharing data from the ENIGMA Working Group, as well as policies for publication and publication credits for those who use ENIGMA Working Group data. The intent of these policies is to facilitate the sharing of data, to encourage academic productivity, and to provide a mechanism for tracking and archiving data requests, intended analyses, and publications related to and resulting from ENIGMA WG data.

Members of the Working Group include investigators from different centers around the world who are actively engaged in neuroimaging research and who have contributed results from primary analyses of imaging data, genetic data, and/or algorithm development for the purpose of meta-analysis and/or mega-analysis, replication, and/or algorithm testing in a collaborative manner. The Working Group’s initial effort is to demonstrate the success of collaborative, systematic neuroimaging analyses across multiple centers to clarify the effect of brain injury on brain structure.

This ENIGMA Working Group is expected to work collaboratively on a growing dataset of contributed results from brain phenotypes (including but not limited to volume, cortical thickness, white matter microstructure, and functional connectivity) in several large samples, potentially being extended later to include genetic data. This database will likely be useful to investigators both within and outside of this ENIGMA Working Group who may request to use parts of the dataset for secondary analyses. In addition, this Working Group will conduct future primary analyses of different brain phenotypes, with processing and analytical procedures agreed upon by collaborators opting to contribute. This wealth of data requires (1) organization, (2) protection of authorship rights, and (3) direction for future analyses.

In order to accomplish these three aims, the ENIGMA Working Group’s scientific initiatives and policies will be guided and overseen by group chairs. ENIGMA chairs are composed of a selection of principal investigators participating in the Working Group. ENIGMA chairs are responsible for ensuring that work is carried out in a timely manner, and follows the appropriate ethical guidelines set down for research on human subjects. Part of this responsibility is to ensure that all sites have obtained approval from their local Ethics Committees, and that such assurances are updated regularly in accordance with Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP) regulations. In addition, ENIGMA chairs will be responsible for oversight of authorship, whether a paper constitutes a Working Group Publication, and whether the scientific contributions of the sites have been correctly and fairly acknowledged in the publication.

The members of the ENIGMA support group and the participating sites and their PIs are listed on the ENIGMA Working Group Website.

1. **Projects and Publications**

*What Constitutes a Working Group Publication?*

ENIGMA Working Group publications are papers or abstracts based on investigations that: (1) rely on the intellectual resources of the Working Group; (2) use data collected through the efforts of the Working Group; or (3) rely, in whole or in part, on information obtained through Working Group membership.

In agreeing to contribute data to an ENIGMA Working Group analysis for a given brain phenotype (including but not limited to volume, cortical thickness, white matter microstructure, and functional connectivity), the members at the contributing site also agree that they will not publish or publicize (to the media or on the Internet) the results of the analyses for that phenotype prior to the publication of the Working Group analysis. Prior to publication, findings may be presented at conferences after consulting with the ENIGMA Working Group chairs. This consultation is designed to ensure that members comply with embargo policies of top-tier journals, protecting the interests of other Working Group members. In addition, secondary analyses based on the findings of the Working Group (for example, functional imaging correlates of a related brain structure found through an analysis by the ENIGMA Working Group), may not be submitted to journals for publication until after the original Working Group paper is accepted for publication. This is intended to avoid the risk of duplicate submissions that may jeopardize a Working Group publication.

Any participating site may choose to publish and present the results of brain imaging analysis for a given phenotype conducted at their own site, which does not contain analyses conducted as part of the ENIGMA Working Group. These publications *do not* constitute Working Group publications. If an ENIGMA Working Group analysis for a given phenotype is proposed and a group is already engaged in an analysis for that same phenotype, that group agrees to disclose its participation in the ongoing project(s) for that phenotype if the group wishes to participate in the ENIGMA analysis. This is also intended to avoid the risk of duplicate submissions that may jeopardize a Working Group publication.

*Guidelines for Primary Publications of the ENIGMA Working Group*

ENIGMA Working Group publications may be classified as primary or secondary. Primary publications of the ENIGMA WG are those for which an analysis is conducted for the first time by the Working Group on a brain phenotype of interest (including but not limited to volume, cortical thickness, white matter microstructure, and functional connectivity),

The ENIGMA Working Group will be listed as an author on all Working Group publications, including manuscripts on which all contributing Working Group members are listed by name. The text “*for the ENIGMA Working Group*” (see Working Group Specific section on page 1) will appear at the end of the author list.

Based upon the level of contribution, authorship at each site may vary from one publication to another. For each discrete publication, each site PI is responsible for preparing authorship and acknowledgment lists for their site.

Authorship for all ENIGMA Working Group papers will be weighted by current effort and contribution rather than on past contribution to ENIGMA Working Group research. Working Group members will be reasonable and self-critical in their claims for authorship. If a question arises regarding the appropriateness of authorship of (a) member(s), other Working Group members will be asked to advocate for these contributors in order to avoid putting members in the awkward position of having to advocate for themselves.

*Guidelines for secondary ENIGMA Working Group projects*

Secondary publications are those that rely upon data/analyses reported in primary publications (for example, re-analyzing ENIGMA Working Group data using a novel technique or using a different clinical phenotype).

Each proposal for a publication with ENIGMA Working Group data will be submitted to the ENIGMA Working Group chairs using the *Secondary Analysis Proposal* that can be requested from the ENIGMA WG chairs. All ENIGMA WG consortium members are encouraged to submit such proposals, which typically involve novel analyses, answering new questions, and may involve subsets of the available sites. Non-Working Group members can, after consultation with the ENIGMA WG chairs and members, also submit a proposal for data analysis and publication and will be asked to sign this *Memorandum of Understanding* regarding authorship and publishing. The ENIGMA WG chairs will screen the proposals for scientific interest, quality, overlap with other analysis plans, appropriateness and feasibility of the proposed timeline, and whether the proposed resources committed to the project are sufficient.

Analysis plans should include a proposal for publication of a specific scientific paper, not for multiple papers on a more general topic or for a "line of work". This implies that the analysis plan should include specific research questions and hypotheses and a detailed plan for data analysis to answer those specific research questions. To attempt to avoid overlap among papers resulting from different analysis plans, the data analyses and results reported in the manuscript will be limited to those described in the analysis plan. For additional analyses not described in the analysis plan, a new analysis plan will need to be submitted.

When the analysis plan is approved by the ENIGMA WG chairs, the proposal will be circulated amongst ENIGMA WG members. ENIGMA WG members will have 14 days from the time of circulation to ask for clarification, voice concerns or objections, and/or give feedback specific to the proposal. Each analysis plan will be discussed during a conference call before the project can be initiated and before the project leader can invite sites to participate in the analysis. No site data will be shared without the consent of the PI of that site, who may opt to impose specific conditions or limitations on the use of the data. ENIGMA PIs and members are not required to take part in any proposed project—participation in projects will be on an opt-in basis. The ENIGMA WG chairs will help enlist members who might be interested in collaborating and will assist in general coordinating tasks such as facilitating project-specific calls. Proposals will be discussed on ENIGMA WG conference calls and emails to encourage the broadest participation.

Submission of a proposal implies actual plans for a publication, which will be worked on within a reasonable time frame specified in the proposal. If within 6 months after submitting a proposal there are no provable activities towards publication, the topic can be released for other interested parties.

1. **Data sharing**

For groups that can share de-identified subject-level data for the purposes of mega-analyses within or across working groups, the results and/or data files from each group will be housed at the *Main Working Group Data Storage Sites* and will not be transferred or mirrored to other sites without permission from the site contributing the data because of privacy concerns. With permission from the site PI, data from a group may also be stored at *Additional* *Working Group Data Storage Sites* for analyses. Any Working Group member (or non-member) wishing to access the results of meta-analyses, group-level summaries and post-estimation statistics, or subject-level data will need to complete an analysis plan. The analysis plan will clearly state which variables will be included in the analysis, and thus what data is requested from each of the participating sites. The extent of data-sharing will also be mentioned in the analysis plan making clear whether raw imaging and/or genetic, individual structural or functional imaging measures derived from software packages including, but not limited to, FreeSurfer (hereinafter referred to as ‘individual data’), or group-level summaries and post-estimation statistics will need to be shared for the project.

The site PIs are responsible for ensuring anonymization of their site’s MR and phenotypic data. Both the site PIs who contribute data to the project as well as the project leader are responsible for complying with all relevant rules and regulations imposed by their institutions. This may mean that the project will need to be approved or declared exempt by a committee that oversees research on human subjects, e.g. a local IRB or Ethics Committee. Depending on the existing ethical approval and the level of data sharing required by the project, sites may need to obtain additional approval for sharing anonymized data with the project leader. The project leader of an approved analysis plan is required to: (1) keep track of ethical approval of each participating site when raw or individual data needs to be shared for the project, (2) provide this list when requested by publishers, and (3) include the following sentence in the subsequent publication: initial data collection for participating sites was approved by the research ethics boards at their respective institutions.

Individuals who are to have access to the data during the project shall all be named in the analysis plan. The project leader of an approved analysis plan is not allowed to share the data of participating sites to third parties inside or outside the ENIGMA consortium. For projects using a mega-analytical approach, the location of data storage, shall additionally be stated in the analysis plan. At the end of the proposed timeline, the project leader can continue to store individual level data on their local data storage for archival purposes, but *cannot conduct any additional statistical analyses on the data from participating ENIGMA WG members beyond the scope of the approved analysis (i.e., analyses that have not been explicitly described in the approved analysis plan)*. If a site PI requests to withdraw from a project they had previously enrolled in and committed data towards, the project leader will comply with the request and remove the dataset in question from all future analyses. Prior to the request, all efforts should be made by ENIGMA WG chairs to address any concerns the withdrawing PI may have and to determine if the project has deviated from proposed analysis plan.

1. **Authorships**

Specific authorship policies for:

Manuscript:

1. We require that manuscripts resulting from approved analysis plans be submitted to the ENIGMA WG chairs for review (see Working Group Specific section on page 1) prior to circulation to co-authors, and prior to submission to a journal. This review is intended to ensure that provisions described in the analysis plan are correctly implemented and to avoid overlap with other ENIGMA WG proposals/manuscripts. The ENIGMA WG chairs will maintain confidentiality regarding the manuscript and will complete their review within 2 weeks.
2. In addition to the recommendations made by the ICMJE, authorship credit should be based on substantial contribution to: (1) the measurement of structural or functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) phenotypes or genotypic data for a ENIGMA WG analysis; (2) contributions or generation of data for replication of results obtained in the analysis; (3) contributions to the conception, design, analysis, and/or interpretation of the data; (4) drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content; and (5) final approval of the manuscript version to be published.
3. People involved in the primary design, analysis, and writing parts of the project will be listed as first (or joint first - denoted with an asterisk) and senior (last or joint last) authors. In addition, the ENIGMA WG chairs and any members of the ENIGMA Working Group who contributed data for the project and edited the manuscript will be listed as co-authors.
4. The phrase "for the ENIGMA Working Group" (see Working Group Specific section on page 1) can be included on the author line of the manuscript if there is a restriction on the total number of co-authors. The ENIGMA Working Group website (see Working Group Specific section on page 1) can be used as the affiliation, which will include a list of all people involved in ENIGMA Brain Injury.
5. Funding by NIH will be acknowledged in the Support or Acknowledgements section of the manuscript using language similar to the following:

The *ENIGMA Working Group* gratefully acknowledges support from the NIH Big Data to Knowledge (BD2K) award (U54 EB020403 to Paul Thompson).

1. All authors will inspect the article before it is submitted to a journal.
2. Active approval from all authors of the article’s content and their co-authorship is required before it is submitted to a journal.
3. The first author is responsible for: (1) following the guidelines with regards to authorship; (2) ensuring that the sample and the research methodology are described correctly; and (3) ensuring that references to relevant ENIGMA Working Group publications, where appropriate, are made.

Conference poster and talk abstracts:

1. If the conference allows listing all contributing authors, please include all contributing authors on the author line. For most conferences additional names may need to be submitted separately.

2. If the conference has a hard limit on the number of contributing authors (many do), include the project leaders, site PIs, the ENIGMA Working Group chairs, overall ENIGMA PI, and the statement "for the ENIGMA Working Group" (see Working Group Specific section on page 1). For this ENIGMA Working Group, include at least FIRST AUTHOR (Presenter), the Working Group Chairs, the overall ENIGMA PI, LAST AUTHOR, and “for the ENIGMA Working Group” (see Working Group Specific section on page 1).

3. If not all authors can be listed, consider putting in the abstract "Contributing Authors are listed at ENIGMA Working Group website”.

4. In talks and posters discussing the activities and results from this ENIGMA Working Group, please include “and members of the ENIGMA Brain Injury group” on the acknowledgement slide.

5. PDF copies of the conference abstracts can be used by all ENIGMA Brain Injury Working Group members and included on their CVs.

Symposium submissions:

1. List the presenting author and, if possible, the phrase “on behalf of the ENIGMA Brain Injury Working Group”. As with conference posters, abstract, and talks, if possible, consider putting in the abstract "Contributing Authors are listed at the ENIGMA Working Group website”.

2. All contributors should be listed on the symposium talk acknowledgement slide.

1. **Participation in the ENIGMA Brain Injury Working Group**

*How to join*

Interested sites can contact any of the Working Group chairs, listed on page one under Working Group Specifics.

*Admitting New Sites as Members*

Success of the first publications is anticipated to lead to a larger, longstanding Working Group. New Working Group members will sign this Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) indicating that they agree with the policies listed here and that they have obtained the required ethical clearances to participate or send data.

*Expulsion from the ENIGMA Working Group*

Expulsion from the ENIGMA Working Group will be considered if a site fails to uphold this MOU, or does not contribute to the work of the ENIGMA WG either in data collection, data analyses, or publications. It is the responsibility of the ENIGMA WG chairs to assemble evidence and present the reasons for possible expulsion to the PIs of sites participating in the ENIGMA WG. Expulsion from the ENIGMA WG will require a simple majority vote of the PIs.

*Withdrawal from ENIGMA Working Group*

A site may request to withdraw from the ENIGMA WG. Requests for withdrawal will be reviewed by the ENIGMA WG chairs. The withdrawing site will need to agree, in writing, to a moratorium on publication of any data that were obtained in the context of ENIGMA WG work, or where the interpretation of the data relies in any part on unpublished ENIGMA WG work.

**ENIGMA Working Group Membership is contingent upon signing the following statement:**

I AGREE:

1. To consider participation in primary and secondary analyses proposed by this ENIGMA Working Group, subject to constraints or limitations that I can impose in each case.
2. To abide by the guidelines for data sharing, authorship, and publication, and other guidelines described in this document.
3. Not to distribute or communicate any privileged information to individuals who are not members without consent of the entire ENIGMA Working Group. This includes any other existing collaborators who are not members of this ENIGMA WG. Privileged information includes raw and processed imaging, demographic, or clinical data, along with results of any unpublished analyses completed by members of this ENIGMA WG.
4. Not to distribute or copy any data collected by this ENIGMA WG without prior written consent of all Working Group members.
5. That the ENIGMA WG chairs will review and evaluate future scientific opportunities and will make recommendations regarding the needed expertise and research program to maximize those opportunities in the best possible way.
6. That failure to uphold this agreement may result in my expulsion from this ENIGMA Working Group (but I will still be bound by publication moratorium on this ENIGMA WG’s work, as described above).

Signature Date

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Please print name

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_